



00047452

294-3003
Mul /Anc
47452

ISSUED OUT
WITH PERMISSION ONLY.

**THE
ASIATIC SOCIETY OF BOMBAY
TOWN HALL, BOMBAY-400 001.**

Digitized with financial assistance from



on 16 November, 2018

NATIONAL ARCHIVES OF INDIA

NATIONAL ARCHIVES OF INDIA

THE ANCIENT PALM-LEAVES

CONTAINING THE

PRAGŪĀ-PĀRAMITĀ-HR/ĀDAYA-SŪTRA

AND THE

UŚHĪŚHA-VIGAYA-DHĀRAVĪ

EDITED BY

F. MAX MÜLLER, M.A.

AND

BUNYIU NANJIO, HON. M.A.; OXON.

PRIEST OF THE EASTERN HONGWANZI IN JAPAN

WITH AN APPENDIX BY

PROFESSOR G. BÜHLER, C.I.E.

47452

al



33088

Oxford

AT THE CLARENDON PRESS

1884

[All rights reserved]

BRI 294.3003

Mul / Anc

1. 1. 1. 1.

London

HENRY FROWDE



OXFORD UNIVERSITY PRESS WAREHOUSE

AMEN CORNER



00047452

III.

THE ANCIENT PALM-LEAVES

CONTAINING

THE PRAGÑĀ-PĀRAMITĀ-HR/DAYA-SŪTRA

AND

THE UŚMĪSHA-VIGAYA-DHĀRAMĪ.

CONTENTS.

	PAGE
I. The Ancient Palm-leaves	3
<i>Pragñā-pāramitā-hṛīdaya-sūtra</i>	5
<i>Uśnīṣha-vigaya-dhāraṇī</i>	9
II. Ziogon's Copy of the Ancient Palm-leaves	12
Ziogon's Note	13
<i>Pragñā-pāramitā-hṛīdaya-sūtra</i>	17
<i>Uśnīṣha-vigaya-dhāraṇī</i>	22
III. Text of <i>Pragñā-pāramitā-hṛīdaya-sūtra</i> as published in Japan ..	27
IV. Text of <i>Uśnīṣha-vigaya-dhāraṇī</i> as engraved on inscriptions . .	31
V. The Two Texts of the <i>Pragñā-pāramitā-hṛīdaya-sūtra</i>	48
Shorter Text restored	48
Larger Text restored	51
Translation of the Larger <i>Pragñāpāramitā</i>	55
Appendix : Palaeographical Remarks on the Horiuzi Palm-leaf MSS., by G. Bühler	63

Tables :—

- I. The Two Palm-leaves, preserved since 609 A. D. in the Monastery, Horiuzi, photographed at Tokio, and sent to Professor Max Müller in 1883.
- II. Facsimile of the Two Palm-leaves of Horiuzi, from the Asharagio.
- III. Facsimile of the Two Palm-leaves of Horiuzi, sent to Professor Max Müller in 1880.
- IV. 1, 2, 3. Photograph of Ziogon's Copy of the Old Palm-leaves of Horiuzi, dated A.D. 1694; sent to Professor Max Müller in 1883.
- V. Impression of a Stone Tablet in the Asakusa Temple at Yedo; sent to Professor Max Müller by Mr. A. Wylie in 1882.
- VI. Table of Alphabets, by G. Bühler.

THE ANCIENT PALM-LEAVES.

I.

IN the Introduction to my edition of the text of the *Vagrakkhedikā*, in the First Part of the First Volume of the *Āryan Series of the Anecdota Oxoniensia*, I gave a detailed account of the discovery of two ancient palm-leaves, which had been in the possession of the Monastery of Hôriuzi ever since the year 609 A.D., and which are therefore, so far as we know at present, the oldest Sanskrit MS. now existing anywhere. I also explained the difficulties which seemed for a time to make it impossible to gain access to these manuscripts. I received indeed, thanks to the kind interest taken in the matter by the late Iwakura Tomomi, the Minister of the Mikado, and by Mr. Satow, the Secretary to the English Legation at Yedo, various facsimiles of these palm-leaves. But as the chief interest of these ancient documents was archæological, inasmuch as they supplied us with the earliest specimen of a Sanskrit alphabet used for literary purposes, I felt that, as in the case of an ancient inscription, nothing would satisfy the demands of Sanskrit scholarship except a perfect photograph of the original.

After considerable delay these photographs have at last come to hand, and I believe that they have been reproduced with so much accuracy that, for all practical purposes, the autotype copies are as good as the originals. The originals have, of course, suffered, partly on the margin, which with palm-leaves is always exposed to damage, partly by the fading of the ink. Fortunately, however, we possess several independent copies, three of which have likewise been reproduced by the photographic and autotype process.

The first, marked No. II, is a photograph of a facsimile, published in the *Asharagio*, a book which has been fully described in Mr. Bunyiu

Nanjio's 'Catalogue of Japanese Books and MSS. in the Bodleian Library,' No. 46.

The second, marked No. III, is a photograph of a facsimile which was executed for me in Japan by order of the late Minister Iwakura Tomomi, and which for some time I thought would be the nearest approach to the original which we were likely to obtain in Europe.

Now that we have the photograph of the original, it will be seen that in several cases the facsimile (No. III), made for me on purpose, is really less accurate than the copy published in the Asharagio. It reads, for instance, चर्या instead of चर्या, तदूप instead of तदूपं, अनुत्पत्ता instead of अनुत्पत्तां, मग instead of माग, ज्ञान instead of ज्ञानं, प्रनमनः instead of प्रज्ञमनः, संगते for संगते, etc. These differences may seem of small importance, but they serve to show that, to a well-trained eye, there was more to be seen in the original palm-leaves, at the time when the facsimile was taken, than the copyist was able to discover. We must not forget that the copyist was not a Sanskrit scholar, and therefore did not always know what to look for. This, though for some purposes a useful defect, is a serious impediment in deciphering such a text as the *Pragñā-pāramitā-hṛdaya-sūtra* and the *Uśnīṣa-vigaya-dhāraṇī*. I am well aware that many letters in the original would have been doubtful or altogether illegible to us, that is, to myself and my fellow-worker, Mr. Bunyiu Nanjio, if we had not known what letters to look for; but we have put down no letters as visible in the original palm-leaves, unless we felt quite certain that the little which was visible of them admitted of no other interpretation but that which we put on it. We know for certain what Ziogon read in the ancient palm-leaves, because we have not only his copy, but his transliteration also. In the palm-leaves themselves and in the other copies taken of them, several letters remain doubtful, of course, and scholars will always differ as to their exact value.

The following pages contain the text as still legible on the palm-leaves (No. I), the copy published in the Asharagio (No. II), and the facsimile sent to me by Iwakura Tomomi (No. III). For convenience sake I have added in the first line the text of Ziogon's copy, on which see pp. 17-26 :—

PRAGÑĀ-PĀRAMITĀ-HR/ĀDAYA-SŪTRA.

- Ziogon's Copy: ॐ नमस्सर्वज्ञाय आर्यावलोकितेश्वर बोधिसत्त्वो
 I. Palm-leaf: - नमस्सर्वज्ञाय आर्यावलोकितेश्वर बोधिसत्त्वो
 II. Asharagio: ॐ नमस्सर्वज्ञाय आर्यावलोकितेश्वर बोधिसत्त्वो
 III. Facsimile: ॐ नमस्सर्वज्ञाय आर्यावलोकितेश्वर बोधिसत्त्वो

गम्भीरं प्रज्ञापारमितायं चर्या चरमानो व्यावलोकयति स्म पञ्च
 गम्भीरं प्रज्ञापारमितायं चर्या चर - - व्यव - कयति स्म पञ्च
 गम्भीरं प्रज्ञापारमितायं चर्या चरपानो व्य - - कयति स्म पञ्च
 गम्भीरं प्रज्ञापारमितायं चर्या चरपानो व्य - - कयति स्म पञ्च

स्कन्धास्ताश्च स्वभावशून्यं पश्यति स्म इह शरिपुत्र रूपं शून्यता
 स्कन्धा - च स्वभा - - - - ॥^१ति स्म इह शरिपुत्र रूपं शून्यता
 स्कन्धा - च स्वभावशून्यं प - ति स्म इह शरिपुत्र रूपं शून्यता
 स्कन्धा - च स्वभावशून्यं प - ति स्म इह शरिपुत्र रूपं शून्यता

शून्यतैव रूपं रूपान्न पृथक् शून्यता शून्यताया न पृथग्रूपं यद्वृत्तं
 शून्यतैव रूपं रूपान्न पृथक् शून्यता - न्यताया न पृथग्रूपं यद्वृत्तं
 शून्यतैव रूपं रूपान्न पृथ - शून्यता शून्यताया न पृथग्रूपं यद्वृत्तं
 शून्यतैव रूपं रूपान्न पृथ - शून्यता शून्यताया न पृथग्रूपं यद्वृत्तं

¹ च and च are not distinguished.

² Where the four MSS. differ from each other a small line has been placed beneath the letters.

³ This marks the ending of the lines in the MS.

सा शून्यता या शून्यता तदूपं एवमेव वेदनासंज्ञासंस्कारविज्ञा-
 सा शून्यता या शून्यता तदूपं एवमेव वेद॥नासंज्ञासंस्कारविज्ञा-
 सा शून्यता या शून्यता तदूपं एवमेव वेदनासंज्ञासंस्कारविज्ञा-
 सा शून्यता या शून्यता तदूपं एवमेव वेदनासंज्ञासंस्कारविज्ञा-

नानि इह शरिपुत्र सर्वधर्मा शून्यतालक्षणा अनुत्पन्ना युनिरुद्धा
 नानि इह शरिपुत्र सर्वधर्मा शून्यतालक्षणा अनुत्पन्ना अनिरुद्धा
 नानि इह शरिपुत्र सर्वधर्मा शून्यतालक्षणा अनुत्पन्ना युनिरुद्धा
 नानि इह शरिपुत्र सर्वधर्मा शून्यतालक्षणा अनुत्पन्ना युनिरुद्धा

अमलाविमला नोना न परिपूर्णा तस्माच्छारिपुत्र शून्यतायां
 अमलाविमला नोना न परिपूर्णा तस्माच्छारिपुत्र - - ॥या
 अमलाविमला नोना न परिपूर्णा तस्माच्छारिपुत्र शून्यतायां
 अमलाविमला नोना न परिपूर्णा तस्माच्छारिपुत्र शून्यतायां

न रूपं न वेदना संज्ञा ना संस्कारा न विज्ञानि न चक्षुश्रोत्र-
 न रूपं न वेदना संज्ञा न संस्कारा न विज्ञानि न चक्षुश्रोत्र-
 न रूपं न वेदना संज्ञा न संस्कारा न विज्ञानि न चक्षुश्रोत्र-
 न रूपं न वेदना संज्ञा न संस्कारा न विज्ञानि न चक्षुश्रोत्र-

घ्राणजिह्वाकायमनोङ्घ्रि न रूपं शब्दगन्धरसस्पर्शव्यधर्मा न
 घ्राणजिह्वाकायमनोङ्घ्रि न रूपं शब्दगन्धरसस्पर्शव्यधर्मा न
 घ्राणजिह्वाकायमनोङ्घ्रि न रूपं शब्दगन्धरसस्पर्शव्यधर्मा न
 घ्राणजिह्वाकायमनोङ्घ्रि न रूपं शब्दगन्धरसस्पर्शव्यधर्मा न

¹ The vowel is slightly visible.

² Looks almost like य.

चक्षुर्धातु यावन्न मनोधातु न विद्या नाविद्या न विद्याक्षयो
 चक्षुर्धातु यावन्न -॥नोधा- न विद्या नाविद्या न विद्याक्षयो
 चक्षुर्धातु यावन्न मनोधातु न विद्या नाविद्या न विद्याक्षयो
 चक्षुर्धातु यावन्न मनोधातु न विद्या नाविद्या न विद्याक्षयो

न विद्याक्षयो यावन्न जरामरणं न जरामरणक्षयो न दुःख-
 नाविद्याक्षयो यावन्न जरामरणं न जरामरणक्षयो न दुःख-
 नाविद्याक्षयो यावन्न जरामरणं न जरामरणक्षयो न दुःख-
 नाविद्याक्षयो यावन्न जरामरणं न जरामरणक्षयो न दुःख-

समुदयनिरोधमार्गं न ज्ञानं न प्राप्तिं बोधिसत्त्वस्य प्रज्ञापार-
 समुदयनिरोधमार्गं न ज्ञानं न प्राप्तिं बोधिसत्त्वस्य प्रज्ञापार-
 समुदयनिरोधमार्गं न ज्ञानं न प्राप्तिं बोधिसत्त्वस्य प्रज्ञापार-
 समुदयनिरोधमार्गं न ज्ञानं न प्राप्तिं बोधिसत्त्वस्य प्रज्ञापार-

मितामाश्रया विहरति चित्तवरणः चित्तावरणनास्तित्वादचस्तो
 मि॥तामाश्रय विहरति चित्तवरणः चित्तावरणनास्तित्वादचस्तो
 मितामाश्रये विहरति चित्तवरणः चित्तावरणनास्तित्वादचस्तो
 मितामाश्रय विहरति चित्तवरणः चित्तावरणनास्तित्वादचस्तो

विपर्ययासात्तिक्रान्तः तिष्ठनिर्वाणः अध्वयवस्थिता सर्वबुद्धाः
 विपर्ययासात्तिक्रान्तः निष्ठनिर्वाणः अध्वयवस्थिता सर्वबुद्धाः
 विपर्ययासात्तिक्रान्तः ति-निर्वाणः अध्वयवस्थिता सर्वबुद्धाः
 विपर्ययासात्तिक्रान्तः ति-निर्वाणः अध्वयवस्थिता सर्वबुद्धाः

प्रज्ञापारमितामाशुन्यानुत्तरां सम्यक्संबोधिमभिसंबुद्धा तस्मा
 प्रज्ञापारमितामाशुन्यानुत्तरां सम्य - - - - -॥ - - - - -स्मा
 प्रज्ञापारमितामाशुन्यानुत्तरा सय्य - बोधिमभि - - - - -स्मा
 प्रज्ञापारमितामाशुन्यानुत्तरा सय्य - बोधिमभि - - - - -स्मा

ज्ञातव्यं प्रज्ञापारमितामहामंचो महाविद्यामंचः अनुत्तरमंच
 ज्ञातव्यं प्रज्ञापारमितामहामंचो महाविद्यामंचः अनुत्तरमंच
 ज्ञातव्यं प्रज्ञापारमितामहामंचो महाविद्यामंचः अनुत्तरमंच
 ज्ञातव्यं प्रज्ञापारमितामहामंचो महाविद्यामंचः अनुत्तरमंच

असमसममंच सर्वदुःखप्रशमनः सत्यममिथ्यत्वात् प्रज्ञापारमि-
 असा॥ - - - - -ममंच सर्वदुःखप्रशमनः सत्यममिथ्यत्वात् प्रज्ञापारमि-
 असमसममंच स - - - - -प्रशमनः सत्यममिथ्यत्वा - प्रज्ञापारमि-
 असमसममंच स - - - - -प्रशमनः सत्यममिथ्यत्वा - प्रज्ञापारमि-

तायामुक्तो मंचः तद्यथा गते गते पारगते पारसंगते बोधि
 तायामुक्तो मंचः तद्यथा गत गते पारगते पारसंगते बोधि
 तायामुक्तो मंचः तद्यथा गत गते पार - ते पारसंगते बोधि
 तायामुक्तो मंचः तद्यथा गत गते पार - ते पारसंगते बोधि

स्वाहा ॥ प्रज्ञापारमितहय समप्ता

स्वाहा ॥ ॥ प्रज्ञा - - - - - ॥

स्वाहा ॥ ॥ प्रज्ञापारमितहय शमप्ता

स्वहा ॥ ॥ प्रज्ञापारमितहय शमप्ता

USHNĪSHA-VIGAYA-DHĀRANĪ.

~ नमस्त्रैलोक्यप्रतिविसिष्टाय बुद्धाय भगवते तद्यथा ओं

I. ~ नमस्त्रैल - प्रतिविसिष्टाय बुद्धाय भगवते तद्यथा ओं

II. ~ नमस्त्रैल - प्रतिविसिष्टाय - - य - ग - ते - द्यथा ओं

III. ~ नमस्त्रैल - प्रतिविसिष्टाय - - य - ग - ते - द्यथा ओं

विसोधय सम समन्तावभासस्फरणगतिगहनस्वभावशुद्धे अभि-
विसोधय सम समन्तावभासस्फरणगतिगहनस्वभावशुद्धे अभि-
तिसोधय सम समन्तावभास - रणगतिगहनस्वकावशुद्धे अभि-
तिसोधय सम समन्तावभास - रणगतिगहनस्वभावशुद्धे अभि-

षिंचतु मां सुगतवचनामृताभिषेकै हरा हरा आयःसन्तारणे शोधय
 षिंचतु मां सुगतवचनामृ - ि - ॥ - हरा हरा आयुःसन्तारणे शोधय
 षिंचतु मां सुगतवचनामृताभिषेकै हरा हरा आयःसन्तारणे शोधय
 षिंचतु मां सुगतवचनामृताभिषेकै हरा हरा आयःसन्तारणे शोधय

शोधय गगनविमुद्धे उष्णीषविजयमुद्धे सहस्ररस्मिसंचोदिते सर्व-
 शोधय गगनविमुद्धे उष्णीषविजयमुद्धे सहस्ररस्मिसंचोदिते सर्व-
 शोधय गगनविमुद्धे उष्णीषविजयमुद्धे सहस्ररस्मिसंचादिते सव-
 शोधय गगनविमुद्धे उष्णीषविजयमुद्धे सहस्ररस्मिसंचादिते सव-

तथागताधिष्ठानाधिष्ठितमुद्रे वज्रकायसंहतनमुद्धे सर्ववरणविमुद्धे
 तथागताधिष्ठानाधिष्ठितमुद्रे वज्रकायसंहत - सु - ॥ स - वरणविमुद्धे
 त - गता - धानाधिष्ठितमुद्रे वज्रकायसंहतनमुद्धे स - वरणविमुद्धे
 त - गत - धानाधिष्ठितमुद्रे वज्रकायसंहतनमुद्धे स - वरणविमुद्धे

प्रतिनिवर्तय आयुसुद्धे समयाधिष्ठिते मनि मनि तथाता भूत-
 प्रतिनिवर्तय आयुसुद्धे समयाधिष्ठिते मनि मनि तथाता भूत-
 प्रतिनिवर्तय आयुसुद्धे समयाधिष्ठिते मनि मनि त - ता - त-
 प्रतिनिवर्तय आयुसुद्धे समयाधिष्ठिते मनि मनि त - ता - त-

कोटिपरिसुद्धे विस्फुटबुद्धिशुद्धे जय जय विजय स्मर सर्वबुद्धा-
 कोटिपरिसुद्धे विस्फुटबुद्धिसुद्धे जय जय विजय स्मर सर्व-॥ बुद्धा-
 - टिपरिसुद्धे वि - टबुद्धि - द्धे जय जय विजय स्मर सर्वबुद्धा-
 - टिपरिसुद्धे वि - टबुद्धि - द्धे जय जय विजय स्मर सर्वबुद्धा-

धिष्ठितसुद्धे वजे वज्रगर्भे वज्रं भवतु मम सर्वसत्त्वानां च काय-
 धिष्ठितसुद्धे वजे वज्रगर्भे वज्रं भवतु मम सर्वसत्त्वानां च काय-
 धिष्ठितसुद्धे - - वज्रग - वज्रं भवतु मम स - सत्त्वानां च काय-
 धिष्ठितसुद्धे - - वज्रग - वज्रं भवतु मम स - सत्त्वाना च हाय-

विमुद्धे सर्वगतिपरिसुद्धे सर्वतथागतसमास्वासाधिष्ठिते बुध्य
 विमुद्धे सर्वगतिपरिसुद्धे सर्वतथागतासमास्वासाधिष्ठिते बुध्य
 वि - द्ध सर्वगतिपरिसुद्धे स - त - गतासमास्वासाधिष्ठिते बुध्य
 वि - द्ध सर्वगतिपरिसुद्धे स - त - गतासमास्वासाधिष्ठिते बुध्य

बुध्य बोधय विबोधय सोधय विसोधय सर्वकर्मवरणानि सम
 बुध्य - - - वि - - - - - ॥ विसोधय सर्वकर्मवरणानि सम
 बुध्य बोधय विबोधय साधय विसोधय स - कर्मवरणानि सम
 बुध्य बोधय विबोधय साधय विसोधय स - कर्मवरणानि सम

समन्तपरिसुद्धे सर्वतथागताधिष्ठानाधिष्ठिते स्वाहा ॥ उष्णी-
समन्तपरिसुद्धे सर्वतथागताधिष्ठानाधिष्ठिते स्वाहा ॥॥ उष्णी-
समन्तपरिसुद्धे स० त० गताधिष्ठानाधिष्ठिते स्वाहा ॥॥ उष्णी-
समन्तपरिसुद्धे स० त० गताधिष्ठानाधिष्ठिते स्वाहा ॥॥ उष्णी-

षविजयथारनि समन्ता ॐ ॐ ॥॥:

षविजयधोरनि समन्त - - - ॥

षविजयधोरनि समन्ता ॐ ॐ ॥॥

षविजयधोरनि समन्ता ॐ ॐ ॥॥

ॐ सिद्धं अ आ इ ई उ ऊ ऋ ॠ लृ ए ऐ ओ औ अं अः
ॐ सिद्धं अ आ इ ई उ ऊ ऋ ॠ लृ ए ऐ ओ औ अं अः
ॐ सिद्धं अ आ इ ई उ ऊ ऋ ॠ लृ ए ऐ ओ औ अं अः
ॐ सिद्धं अ आ इ ई उ ऊ ऋ ॠ लृ ए ऐ ओ औ अं अः

क ख ग घ ङ च छ ज झ ञ ट ठ ड ढ ण त थ द ध न प फ
क ख ग घ ङ च छ ज झ ञ ट ठ ड ढ ण - थ - ध न - फ
क ख ग घ ङ च छ ज झ ञ ट ठ ड ढ ण त - - ध न प फ
क ख ग घ ङ च छ ज झ ञ ट ठ ड ढ ण त - - ध न प फ

व भ म य र ल व श ष स ह स्सं ॥

- - म - - - - - - - - ॥॥

व भ म य र ल - श ष स ह स्सं ॥

व भ म य र ल - श ष स ह स्सं ॥

II.

ZIOGON'S COPY OF THE ANCIENT PALM-LEAVES,
MADE IN 1694.

A most valuable help for restoring the text of the *Pragñâ-pâramitâ-hṛdaya-sûtra* and the *Ushnîsha-dhâraṇî*, such as it was and could still be read towards the end of the seventeenth century, is found in a copy made by Ziogon in 1694. Of this, too, I had received several facsimiles which I refrained from publishing, hoping in time to receive an accurate photograph. This hope has at last been fulfilled, and in the reproduction of that photograph (No. IV, 1, 2, 3) scholars have now as good a substitute of the original as it is possible to produce. How this copy came to be made may best be stated in Ziogon's own words, as translated by Mr. Bunyiu Nanjio.

ZIOGON'S NOTE.

FROM the time I was very young, I had my mind bent considerably on the Hidden Doctrine ('Guhya-yâna'). When grown up, I went to see many teachers, and inquired after the depth and fulness (of its meanings). They all said, that unless a learner of the Doctrine of Yoga studied the Sanskrit texts so as to be able to make the form and sound and meaning (of the letters or words) clear, he would not reach the hall and inner apartment (of the Doctrine). Therefore I have searched everywhere, and studied Sanskrit intensely, and in some degree understood the sound and form and meaning (of the letters or words). But on account of a wide difference of customs and habits between India and Japan, the (Sanskrit) letters still remained difficult to be corrected, just as if one were looking at the great ocean, and facing (the sea-god) Ziaku.

余自弱小頗志密乘長參諸師扣問底蘊僉言瑜
伽學者自非考索梵文詳形音義不克臻其堂奧
於是周訪博探專攻梵學音韻粗曉相義稍通然
以西竺東嶠風俗迥阻字源難正望洋向若粵大

Now then in the treasure-house of the monastery of Hô-riu-zi in the province of Yamato, there have been kept two palm-leaves, handed down from Central India. They contain the Sanskrit text of the Shin-gio or the (Pragñā-pāramitā-) hṛdaya-sūtra, and of the Butsu-kiō-son-shio or the Buddhoshāsha-vigaya(-dhāraṇī), and the Fourteen (sic) Sounds of the Siddha (or alphabet).

In this year (A. D. 1694) I have unexpectedly been able to see them. This opportunity suits my original desire very well, and my joy is immeasurable, so much so that I cannot help dancing with delight. Then wiping my sick eyes and sitting at the bright window, I ventured to consider the right and left sides and the heads and tails of each letter; and I felt pretty sure the letters might be copied out somewhat after the original form. Thereupon I have made a copy without regarding the softness of a hare's hair (of a writing-brush), and then added parallel comments (i.e. transliterations both in Chinese and Japanese, and a translation in Chinese), and pointed out the begin-

和州法隆寺寶庫舊藏中天貝多兩片乃是心經梵言佛頂尊勝
及悉曇十四音也今茲不揣得遇覽之甚愜素願歡喜無量拊踊
同措斯則拭病目向明聰強推偏傍尋覓首尾旋得認著少應做
像遂使不揆柔兔卒膺一本更加對註朱點句義以貽后昆殊恨

ning of each word (lit. line, pada) with red¹; thus I have to leave my copy to future generations. As the power of the writing in the original is strong and firm, like the flying of a dragon and the running of a lion, I have only regretted that my copy should not escape being ridiculed, as when one has drawn a tiger and it only turns out to resemble a dog (i. e. an unsuccessful imitation).

Written by Shaku Ziogon, a Sramana of the Rei-un monastery in the Eastern capital (then Yedo, now Tokio), on the fourteenth of the tenth lunar month, in the seventh year of the Gen-roku period (A. D. 1694), the cycle Kô-zutsu (Kiâ-sü).

原本筆力遒勁龍飛獅奔故未免畫虎類
狗之諂告
元祿第七龍集甲戌十月未望東都靈雲
沙門釋淨嚴書并跋

ADDITIONAL NOTES.

1. In the Shin-gio or the Hridaya-sûtra, whenever there is a doubt about an omission or a wrong letter, I have pointed it out.

2. The text of the Son-shio-dhâ-rant for the most part agrees with

一尊則疑一心
勝陀點有經
羅示之誤中

¹ This red point is replaced by a small asterisk in the following pages.

all the eight Chinese transliterations by Buddhapāla (No. 348, A. D. 676), To Kō-i (Tu Hhiñ-i, No. 349, A. D. 679), Divākara—two versions (Nos. 351, 352, A. D. 682)—Gi-zio (I-tsiñ, No. 350, A. D. 710), Mu-i (Subhakarasiṃha, A. D. 716–735), Fu-ku (Amoghavajra, A. D. 741–774, of the Thán dynasty, A. D. 618–907), and Hô-ten (Dharma-deva(?), No. 796 or 871, A. D. 973–981) of the Sun dynasty of the Kào family (A. D. 960–1127); though it is still not certain which transliterator used this text.

3. Among the Mo-ta (i. e. Mātra or vowels) of the Fourteen Sounds (of Siddha), the four letters of *ri* etc. (*ri*, *li*, *li*) are added. It shows that these are the Brahma letters of Central India.

Written again by ZIOGON.

尼校于佛陀波利杜行顛地婆訶羅二本
不空趙宋法天八本悉皆大同小異未詳誰譯本
一十四音則麼多之中加紇里等四文知是中天竺梵
字也
淨嚴重識

ZIOGON'S COPY
OF THE PRAGÑĀ-PĀRAMITĀ-HR/DAYA-SŪTRA
AND THE UŚHVIŚHA-VIGAYA-DHĀRAṆĪ,
MADE IN 1694.

PRAGÑĀ-PĀRAMITĀ-HR/DAYA-SŪTRA.

LEAF 1.

Shin-glo-bon-hon (i. e. the Sanskrit text of the Hrīdaya-sūtra).

❧ is a different form of the letter ई, and it means a root or origin.

Sanskrit Text.	नमस्सर्वज्ञाय	आर्यावलोकितेश्वर
Transliteration.	Namas sarvaḥjñāya	āryāvalokiteśvara
Chinese Transliteration.	*Na-ma *satsu-baku-niyaku-ya	*ā-riyā-*baku-rō-ki-tei-*shibaku-ra
Japanese Transliteration.	Nau-ma sara-ba-ziya-ya	ā-riyaa-ba-rō-ki-tei-shimuba-ra
Translation.	Respect to the all-wise	holy looking on Lord

बोधिसत्त्वो	गम्भीरं	प्रज्ञापारमितायं
vodhisatvo	gambhīram	pragñāpāramitāyam
*bo-dai-satsu-tanbaku	*ken-bī-ran	*hatsura-niyaku-*hā-ra-mitsu-tā-yen
bou-gi-satsu (or sa)-toba	gen-bī-ran	hara-ziya-hā-ra-mi-tā-yen
bodhisattva	deep	pragñā ('wisdom') other shore arrival

चर्या	चरमानो	व्यावलोकयति	सम
charyām	charamāno	vyāvalokayati	sma
*sha-riyēn	*sha-ra-*mā-nu	hei-*baku-rō-ka-ya-tei	*satsuma
shiya-riyēn	shiya-ra-mā-do	biyaa-ba-rō-kiya-ya-ki	sanma
practice	one who practises shining	looked on	

पञ्चस्कन्धास्ताद्य	स्वभावशून्यं	पश्यति
pañcaskandhās tāś ka	svabhāvasūnyaṃ	pasyati
han-sha-*satsuken-dā-*satsutā-shitsusha	*satsubaku-bā-baku-*shū-kin	hatsu-sen-tei
han-shiya-soken-dā-satā-shitsushiya	soba-bāmu-ba-shiyū-niyen	ha-sen-ki
five bundles such like	self-nature all empty	

सम	इह	ज्ञा-
sma	iba	sâ-
*satsuma	i-ka	*shâ-
sanma	i-ka	shiyâ-

He speaks to

LEAF 2.

रिपुत्र	रूपं	शून्यता	शून्यतैव	रूपं
riputra	rûpam	*sûnyatâ	sûnyataiva	rûpam
ri-fu-tanra	*rô-han	*shû-kin-tâ	*shû-kin-tei-baku	*ro-han
ri-ho-tara	rô-han	shiyû-niyen-tâ	shiyû-niyen-tei-ba ('yeiba')	ro-han
body-son	colour	empty	empty indeed	colour

रूपान्	पृथक् ¹	शून्यता	शून्यताया	न
rûpân na	prîthak	sûnyatâ	sûnyatâyâ	na
*rô-han *na	hatsuri-datsu	*shû-kin-tâ	*shû-kin-tâ-yâ	*na
rô-han nau	hari-da	shiyû-niyen-tâ	shiyû-niyen-tâ-yâ	nau
colour not	different	empty	empty	not

पृथग्रूपं	यद्रूपं	सा	शून्यता	या
prîthag rûpam	yad rûpam	sâ	sûnyatâ	yâ
hatsuri-datsu-garo-han	ya-dotsu-han	*sâtsu	shû-kin-tâ	yâ
hari-da-giyaro-han	ya-dotsu (or doro)-han	sâ	shiyû-niyen-tâ	yâ
different colour	colour		indeed empty	

शून्यता	तद्रूपं	एवमेव	वेदना
sûnyatâ	tad rûpam	evam éva	vedanâ
*shû-kin-tâ	*ta-dotsu-han	*yei-baku-mei-batsu	*heki-na-na
shiyû-niyen-tâ	ta-dotsu (or doro)-han	yei-ba-mei-ba	bei-da-nau
empty	indeed colour	thus	perception

संज्ञा	संस्कार	विज्ञा-
saññâ	samskāra	vigñâ-
*san-niyaku	*san-sokuka-ra	*bi-niyaku-
san-siya	san-sokiya-ra	bi-siya-
thought	action	knowledge also

LEAF 3.

नानि	इह	ज्ञारिपुत्र	सर्वधर्मा	शून्यता
nâni	iba	sâriputra	sarvadharmâ	sûnyatâ
nâ-ni	*i-ka	*shâ-ri-fu-tanra	*satsu-baku-datsu-mâ	*shû-kin-tâ
nâu-ni	i-ka	shiyâ-ri-ho-tara	sara-ba-dara-mâ	shiyû-niyen-tâ
	He speaks to	body-son	all laws	empty

¹ This letter क् is doubtful, and probably superfluous.—MS. note.

लक्षणा lakṣhaṇā *raku-sha-nī raki-shiya-nī form	अनुत्पन्ना anutpannā *a-nu-tanhatṣu-nô a-do-tahan-nāu not-born	यु (for अ ?) निरुद्धा yu (for a ?) nirôddhā *yu ni-rô-da yu ni-rô-da not-annihilated	अमलाविमला amalāvimalā *a-ma-rā*bi-ma-rā a-ma-rābi-ma-raa not-dirty not-pure
नोना nonā *nū-nā dô-nāu not-decreasing	न परिपूर्या na paripūrṇā *na hatsu-ri-ho-ranā nau ha-ri-ho-randā not-increasing	तस्माच्छारिपुत्र tasmāśhāriputra *tan-satsumā*shā-ri-fu-tanra ta-samā shiyā-ri-ho-tara therefore body-son	शून्यतायां śūnyatāyām *shū-kin-tā-yên shiyū-niyen-tā-yên empty-middle
न रूपं na rūpam *na rô-han nau rô-han not colour	न वेदना ¹ na vedanā *na heki-da-na nau bei-da-nau not perception	संज्ञा sañjñā *san-niyaku san-siya not thought	ना सं- nā sam- *na san- nau san- not action

LEAF 4.

स्कार	न	विज्ञानि	न	चक्षु	श्रोत्र	-घ्राण	
skārā	na	vigñāni	na	lakshu	strotra	ghrāṇa	
sokukā-rā	*na	bi-niyaku-ni	*na	shaku-shu	*shurō-tanra	*gara-na	
sokiyā-rā	nau	bi-siya-ni	nau	shiyaku-shiyu	shiyurō-tara	giyara-da	
	not	knowledge	not	eye	ear	nose	
जिह्वा	काय	मनोहि	न	रूपं	शब्द	गन्ध	रस
jihvā	kāya	manoṇsi	na	rūpam	śabda	gandha	rasa
*zi-kabāku	*kā-ya	*ma-nō-shitsu	*na	rō-han	*shio-na	*gen-da	*ra-sa
zi-kabā	kiyā-ya	ma-nou-shi	nau	rō-han	sefu-da	gen-da	ara-sa
tongue	body	mind	not	colour	sound	smell	taste
स्पर्श		धर्मा	न	चक्षुर्धातु	यावत्		
spash/avya		dharmā	na	lakshurdhātu	yāvan na		
*sanhatsura-shitsuta-hoya		*datsu-mā	*na	shaku-shu-radā-to	*yā-baku-*na		
sanhara-shiyutsuta-boya		dara-mā	nau	shiyaku-shiyu-aradā-to	yā-ba-nau		
touch		law	not	eye sphere	as far as not		
मनोधातु	न	विद्या	नाविद्या	न	विद्याक्षयो		
manodhātu	na	vidyā	nāvidyā	na	vidyākshayo		
nā-nū-dātu-to	*na	bi-giyā	*nā-bi-giyā	*na	bi-giyā-*kitsusha-yū		
nā-dō-dā-to	nau	bi-giyā	nau-bi-giyā	nau	bi-giyā-kitsushiya-yū		
mind sphere	not	knowledge	not knowledge	not	knowledge destruction		

¹ न is probably left out here.—MS. note. ² स्प spa ought to be written स्र spra, as it is so both in the original MS. and in the transliteration.—B. N.

न ¹	विद्याक्ष-
na	vidyāksha-
*na	bi-giyā-*kitsusha-
nau	bi-giyā-kitsushiya-
not	knowledge destruction

LEAF 5.

यो	यावन्न	जरा *	मरणं	न	जरामरण	क्षयो	न
yo	yāvan na	garā	maranam	na	garāmarana	kshayo	na
yū	*yā-baku *no	sha-rā	*ma-ra-nan	*na	sha-rā-*ma-ra-na	*kitsusha-yū	*na
yū	yā-ba nau	ziya-rā	ma-ra-nan	nau	ziya-rā-ma-ra-da	kitsushiya-yū	nau
	as far as not	decay	death	not	decay death	destruction	not

दुःख	समुदय	निरोध	मार्ग	न	ज्ञानं	न
duḥkha	samudaya	nirodha	mārga	na	gñānam	na
nuku-ka	*san-mo-na-ya	*ni-rô-da	*mā-raga	*na	niyaku-nan	*na
doku-kiya	san-bo-da-ya	ni-rô-da	bâ-ragiya	nau	ziya-nau	nau
pain	cause	destruction	path	not	wisdom	not

प्राप्तिवं	बोधिसत्त्वस्य	प्रज्ञापारमिता-
prāptitvam	bodhisatvasya	pragñāpāramitā
hatsurā-hitsutei-*tanbon	*bo-dai-satsu-tanbaku-sha	*hatsura-niyaku-*hātu-ra-mitsu-tā-
harā-hitsutei-tobamu	bou-gi-satsu-toba-shiya	hara-ziya-hā-ra-mi-tā-
obtaining therefore	Bodhisattva	wisdom other shore arrival

माशुन्या	विहरनि ²	चित्त (for ज्ञा ?) वरयः	चि-
māshūnyā	viharani	chitta (for ttā ?)-varanaḥ	ki-
*mā-shiri-niyā	*bi-ka-ra-ni	*shitsu-ta-baku-ra-na	*shitsu-
mā-shiri-niyā	bi-ka-ra-ni	shitsu-taa-ba-ra-da	shitsu-
relying on	he dwells	heart-without-obstacle	heart

LEAF 6.

ज्ञावरण	नास्ति-	त्वादवस्तो	विपद्यसात्क्रान्तः
ttāvarana	nāsti-	tvādatrasto	vipadyasātikrāntaḥ
tā-baku-ra-na	*nā-shitsutei-tanbāku-na-tanra-sotsutō	*bi-hatsu-giya*sā-tei-katsurānta-	
taa-ba-ra-da	nau-shitsuṭi-tobā-da-tara-sotsutō	bi-ha-giyasā-ki-kiyarān-da	
without obstacle	not to be	fear-frightened	turning upside down far-separated

¹ Ziogon read न nā instead of ना nā, as it is in the original MS.—B. N.

² चि ni must be read त्ति ti, as it is so in the original MS.—B. N.

तिष्ठ tishṭha *tei-shitsuta ñi-shiyutsuta final	निर्वैकः nirvāṇaḥ *ni-ritsubāku-na ni-ribā-da round (perfect)-calm	अध्वयवस्थिता tryadhvyavasthittā *teiriya-datsubaku-biya-baku-shitsugi-tā ñiriya-daba-biya-ba-shitsugi-tā three paths
---	--	--

सर्वबुद्धाः sarvabuddhāḥ *satsu-baku-botsu-dā sara-ba-botsu-dā all Buddhas	प्रज्ञापारमिता- pragñāpāramitā *hatsura-niyaku-hātsu-ra-mi-tā hara-ziya-hā-ra-mi-tā wisdom other shore arrival	मासु(for मृ?)न्या- नुत्तरां māsu(for sri?)nyā-nuttarāṃ *mā-shitsuri-niyā- *nu-ta-rān mā-shiri-niyāa- do-ta-rān relying on without upper
--	--	---

सम्यक्संबोधि- samyaksambodhi- *san-miyaku-san-bō-dai- san-miyaku-san-bōu-gi- right-proper right-wak- ing	मभिसंबुद्धा m abhisambuddhā *ma-bi-san-botsu-dā ma-bi-san-bo-dā manifestly-right- waking one	तस्मा tasmā *tan-satsumā ta-sanmā therefore	ज्ञातव्यं gñātavyam *niyaku-tan-biyen ziya-ta-biyen to know	प्र- pra- *hatsura- hara wisdom
---	---	---	---	---

LEAF 7.

ज्ञापारमिता gñāpāramitā niyaku- *harā-mitsu-tā ziya-harā-mi-tā other shore arrival	महामन्त्रो mahāmāntro *ma-kā-man-torō ma-kā-man-dorō indeed great true-word ('charm')	महा- विद्यामन्त्रः mahā- vidyāmāntraḥ *ma-kā-bi-giyā-man-tanra ma-kā-bi-giyā-man-dara indeed great knowledge true- word
--	---	--

अनुत्तरमन्त्र anuttaramāntra *a-nu-tan-ra-man-tanra a-do-ta-ra-mamu-tara indeed without-upper true- word	असमसममन्त्र asamasamamāntra *a-satsu-ma-satsu-ma-man-tanra a-san-ma-san-ma-man-dara indeed without-equal-equal true- word	सर्वदुःख- sarvaduḥkha- *satsu-baku- *nuku-ka- sara-ba-dotsu-kiya- all pain
---	--	--

प्रशमनः prasamaṇaḥ *hatsura-sha-ma-na hara-shiya-ma-nau well-removing	सत्यममिथ्यात्वात् ¹ satyam amithyatvāt *satsu-teiya- *ma-mi-teiitsu-tanbāku sa-ñiya-ma-mi-ñitsu-tobā truth not empty	प्रज्ञा- pragñā- *hatsura-niyaku- hara-giya wisdom
---	---	--

¹ This letter ण is probably superfluous.—MS. note.

पारमितायामुक्ते

pāramitāyām ukto

*hā-ra-mitsu-tā-yā-*moku-kito

hā-ra-mi-taa-yā-bo-kito

other shore arrival indeed spoken

मंत्रः

mantraḥ

*man-tanra

man-dara

true-word

तद्यथा

tadyathā

*tan-niya-tā

ta-niya-tā

that which is said

गते

gate

*ketsu-tei

giya-tei

LEAF 8.

गते

gate

ketsu-tei

giya-tei

पारगते

pāragate

ha-ra-ketsu-tei

पारसंगते

pārasaṃgate

hā-ra-so-ketsu-tei

hā-ra-so-giya-tei

बोधि

bodhi

bō-dai

bōu-gi

स्वाहा ॥

svāhā

sabāku-kā

sohā-kā

प्रज्ञापारमित-

pragñāpāramita-

*hatsura-niyaku-*hātsu-ra-mitsu-ta-*kari(-da?)-ya

hara-siya-hā-ra-mi-ta-

wisdom other shore arrival

हृ(द?)य

hṛi(da?)-ya

kari(-da?)-ya

kari-ya

heart

समाप्ता

samaptā.

*sa-ma-hatā.

san-ma-hatā.

finished-ended.

USHVĪSHA-VIGAYA-DHĀRAMĪ.

Son-shio-da-ra-ni (i.e. the Ārya-vigaya-dhāramī).

नमस्त्रैलोक्य-

Namas trailokya-

*No-ma-*shitsutanrei-ro-kiya-

Nau-ma-shitsutarei-ro-kiya-

Respect to the three worlds

प्रतिविसिद्ध्य

prativisishḍāya

*hatsura-tei-bi-shi-shitsutā-ya

hara-ḥi-bi-shi-shiyutsutā-ya

most excellent

बुद्धाय

buddhāya

*botsu-dā-ya

bo-dā-ya

enlightened one

भगवते

bhagavate

*ba-ga-baku-tei

ba-giya-ba-tei

world-honoured

त-

ta-

*tan-

ta,

LEAF 9.

द्यथा	त्रो	विज्ञोषय	सम	समन्तावभास-
dyathā	Om	visodhaya	sama	samantāvabhāsa-
tetsuya-tā	*an	*bi-shū-da-ya	*sa-ma	sa-man-tā-*baku-bā-sa-
niya-tā	won	bi-shiyū-da-ya	samu-ma	samu-man-daa-ba-bā-shiya-
that which is said	three bodies	purify	equal	common shining

स्फरण	गति	गहन	स्वभावशुद्ध
spharāṇa	gati	gahana	svabhāvasuddhe
*satsuha-ra-na	*ga-tei	ga-ka-no	*sabaku-bā-baku-*shu-dai
soha-ran-da	giya- <i>ki</i>	giya-ka-nau	soba-bāmu-ba-shiyu-dei
stretching everywhere	six-states	thick-forest	self-nature pure

अभिषिञ्चतु	मां	सुगतवचनामृताभिषेकै
abhishimkātu	mām	sugatavaśanāmṛtābhishekai
*a-bī-shin-sha-*to	mām	*so-ga-ta-*baku-sha-nō-*mitsuri-tā-*bi-sei-kei
a-bī-shin-ziya-to	māmu	so-giya-ta-ba-shiya-naua-miri-taa-bi-sei-kei
sprinkle (water) on	me	well-gone excellent-teaching sweet dew sprinkling
the head		(water) on the head

हरा	हरा	आयःसन्तारणे	शोधय	शोध-
harā	harā	āyaśsamtārāṇe	sodhaya	sodha-
*ka-rā	ka-rā	*ā-yoku-san-ta-ra-ni	*shū-datsu-ya	*shū-datsu-
ka-rā	ka-rā	ā-yu-san-da-ra-ni	shiyū-da-ya	shiyū-da-
please take	please take	firmly stopping and holding life	purify	purify much

LEAF 10.

य	गगनविशुद्धे	उष्णीषविजयसुद्धे
ya	gaganavisuddhe	ushnīshavigayasuddhe
ya	*ga-ga-no-bi-shu-tei	*u-shitsunī-sha-*bi-niyaku-ya-*shu-tei
ya	giya-giya-nau-bi-shiyu-dei	u-shiyunī-shiya-bi-ziya-ya-shiyu-dei
	like-sky-pure	Buddha's head most victorious pure

सहस्रराम्य (for ३मे ?)	संकोदिते	सर्व-
saḥsārāmyi (for sme ?)	samkōdite	sarva-
*sa-ka-sara-*ra-shimi	*san-sō-ni-tei	*satsu-baku-
sa-ka-sara-ara-shimei	san-sō-ni-tei	sara-ba-
with thousand rays	roused	all

तथागताधिहानाधिहित-

tathāgatādhish/ānādhish/āita-
tan-tā-getsu-tā-**gi-shitsutā-nā-gi-shitsuki-ta-*
ta-tā-giya-taa-*gi-shiyutsuta-naua* (or naa)-*gi-shiyutsuki-ta-bo-darei*
Tathāgata spiritual-power-joined

मुद्रे

mudre
**mo-narei*
seal

वज्र-

vagra-
**baku-gitsura-*
ba-zara-
diamond

कायसंहतनसुद्धे

kāyasamhatanasuddhe
kā-ya-so-ka-ta-no-**shu-dai**
kiyā-ya-sou-ga-ta-nau-shiyu-dei
body link-chain pure*

सर्ववरणविसुद्धे

sarvavarana~~visuddhe~~
satsu-baku-baku-ra-na-**bi-shu-dai**
sara-ba-ba-ra-da-bi-shiyu-dei
all obstacle pure*

प्रतिनिव-

pratniva-
hatsura-tei-nei-betsu-**
hara-*ki-ni-bari-*
successively

LEAF 11.

तय आयुसुद्धे

rtaya āyusuddhe
ta-ya **ā-yoku-shu-tei*
ta-ya ā-yu-shiyu-dei
turn life-all-obtaining pure

समयाधिहिते

samayādhish/āite
sa-ma-yā-gi-shitsuki-tei**
san-ma-yaa-*gi-shiyutsuki-tei*
vow-prayer joined

मनि

mani
**ma-ni*
ma-ni
world-gem
(or mind)

मनि

mani
**ma-ni*
ma-ni
law-gem
(or thought)

तथाता

tathātā
**tan-tatsu-tā*
ta-ta-tā
truth-true

भूतकोटिपरिसुद्धे

bhūtakoṭiparisuddhe
*bū-ta-kū-*ki-hatsu-ri-shu-tei**
bō-ta-kū-*ki-ha-ri-shiyu-dei*
everywhere pure

विष्फुटबुद्धिसुद्धे

visphuṭabuddhisuddhe
bi-safu-ta-botsu-gi-shu-tei**
bi-soho-ta-bo-*gi-shiyu-dei*
unfolded wisdom pure

जय

gaya
**niyaku-ya*
ziya-ya
victorious

जय

gaya
niyaku-ya
ziya-ya

विजय¹

vigaya
**bi-niyaku-ya*
bi-ziya-ya
most victorious

स्मर

smara
**sama-ra*
samuma-ra
remember

सर्वबुद्धाधिहितसुद्धे

sarvabuddhādhish/āitasuddhe
satsu-baku-botsu-dā-gi-shitsuki-ta-**shu-tei**
sara-ba-botsu-daa-*gi-shiyutsuki-ta-shiyu-dei*
all Buddhas joined pure*

¹ In the 'Doctrine of Truth' (?) this word is repeated.—MS. note.

वक्त्रे	वक्त्रगर्भे	वक्त्रं
vagre	vagragarbhe	vagram
"baku-sitsurēi	"baku-sitsura-getsu-hei	"baku-sitsuran
ba-sirēi	ba-zara-giyara-bei	ba-zaran
diamond	diamond-concealed	like-diamond

LEAF 12.

भवतु	मम	सर्वसत्त्वानां	य
bhavatu	mama	sarvasatvānaṃ	ka
ba-baku-to	"ma-ma	"satsu-baku-"satsu-tanbāku-nān	sa
bamu-ba-to	ma-ma	sara-ba-satsu-tobā-nān	shiya
I pray success	my	all living beings	
कायविसुद्धे	सर्वगति-	परिसुद्धे	सर्वतथागत
kāyavisuddhe	sarvagati-	parisuddhe	sarvatathāgata
"ka-ya-"bi-shu-tei	"satsu-baku-"ga-tei	"hatsu-ri-shu-tei	"satsu-baku-tan-tā-getsu-ta
kiya-ya-bi-shiyu-dei	sara-ba-giya-ki-	ha-ri-shiyu-dei	sara-ba-ta-tā-giya-ta
body reaching pure	all states	all pure	all Tathāgata
समासासाधिते	बुध्य	बुध्य	बोधय
samāsvāsādhishṭhite	budhya	budhya	bodhaya
"sa-mā-shiubāku-sā-"grī-shitsufi-tei	"botsu-giya	botsu-giya	"bō-da-ya
samu-mā-shifubā-saa-grī-shiyutsufi-tei	botsu-giya	botsu-giya	bōu-da-ya
comforting joined cause to be pure	be enlightened	be enlightened	cause to understand
विबोधय	सोधय	विसोधय	सर्वकर्मव-
vibodhaya	sodhaya	visodhaya	sarvakarmava-
"bi-bō-da-ya	"shō-da-ya	"bi-shō-da-ya	"satsu-baku-"katsu-ma-"baku-
bi-bōu-da-ya	shiyō-da-ya	bi-shiyō-da-ya	sara-ba-kiyara-ma-ba-
cause to understand	quickly purify	quickly purify	all action

LEAF 13.

रणानि	सम	समन्तपरिसुद्धे	सर्वतथागता-
raṇāni	sama	samantaparisuddhe	sarvatathāgatā-
ra-nā-ni	"sa-ma	sa-man-ta-"hatsu-ri-shu-tei	"satsu-baku-tan-tā-ketsu-tā-
ra-dā-ni	samu-ma	samu-mamu-da-ha-ri-shiyu-dei	sara-ba-ta-tā-giya-taa
obstacles	equal	everywhere all pure	for all Tathāgata

धिहानाधिहिते

dhishh/ânâdhishh/ite

*gi-shitsuta-nô-*gi-shitsuñi-tei

gi-shiyutsuta-naua-gi-shiyutsuñi-tei

place

placed

साहा ॥

svâhâ

sabâ(ku)-kâ

sohâ-kâ

final-accomplishment

उच्छीवविजय-

ushnîshavigaya-

*u-shunî-sha-"bi-niyaku-ya-

u-shiyunî-shyia-bi-siya-ya-

Buddha-head victorious

धारनि

thârani

*da-ra-ni

da-ra-ni

holding

समप्ता

samaptâ

*sa-ma-hatâ

samu-ma-hatâ

accomplished and ended

ॐ

अं am

ॐ

अं am

ॐ

अः aḥ

letter

letter

letter

LEAF 14.

Ziu-shi-on (i. e. fourteen sounds).

सिद्धं

siddham

*shitsu-don

shitsu-tan

अ

a

aa

aa

इ

i

ii

ii

ई

î

î

î

उ

u

û

û

ऊ

ri

ri

ri

ऋ

ri

ri

ri

ॠ

ri

ri

ri

ऌ

li

li

li

ॡ

li

li

li

ए

e

ei

ei

ओ

ai

ai

ai

औ

au

au

au

अं

am

am

am

अः

aḥ

aḥ

aḥ

क

ka

ka

kiya

ख

kha

ka

kiya

ग

ga

ga

giya

घ

gha

ga (heavy)

giya

ङ

ña

gio

giyau

च

ka

sha

shiya

छ

kha

sha

shiya

ज

ga

sia

siya

झ

gha

sia (heavy)

siya

ञ

ña

sio

siyau

ट

ta

ta

ta

ठ

tha

ta

ta

ड

da

da

da

ढ

dha

da (heavy)

da

ण

na

tan

dau

त

ta

ta

ta

थ

tha

da

da

द

da

da

da

ध

dha

da (heavy)

da

न

na

na

nau

प

pa

ha

ha

फ

pha

ha

ha

ब

ba

ba

ba

भ

bha

ba (heavy)

ba

म

ma

ma

mau

य

ya

ya

ya

र

ra

ra

ra

ल

la

ra

ba

व

va

ba

wa

श

sa

sha

shiya

ष

sha

sha

shiya

स

sa

sa

sa

ह

ha

ka

ka

लं

llam

ran

ran

ख

ksha

kitsusha

kishiya

¹ था thâ must be read ध dhâ, as it is so in the original MS.—B. N.
is not exactly the same in Ziogon's copy as it is in the original MS.

² This letter

III.

TEXT OF THE PRAGÑĀ-PĀRAMITĀ-HR/DAYA-SŪTRA
AS PUBLISHED IN JAPAN.

The short text of the *Hṛdaya-sūtra*, as we have now traced it from the original palm-leaves (A. D. 609) to Ziogon's copy, made in 1694, and to the two facsimiles, one published in the *Ashāragio*, the other ordered by Iwakura Tomomi, was evidently most widely studied in Japan, and while being reproduced in different monasteries suffered considerable corruption. This corrupt text, however, acquired an independent authority. It was not meant to be understood, but only to be repeated, and we find it even engraved on stones with all these corruptions.

As specimens of these more or less corrupt reproductions, we give here two texts, published by a Japanese priest Hōkiō, A. D. 1744. The first text is called by him the old text, while what we give in the second line is a text corrected by the Japanese editor. He has also added to the old text a Japanese transliteration and a literal Chinese translation. The corrected text is accompanied by a Chinese transliteration and a literal Chinese translation. Some notes are added to both texts.

In the third line we have printed a text, published in Japan in A. D. 1807, by a priest Kiye. It is likewise accompanied by a transliteration, a Chinese translation, and a kind of grammatical analysis, ascribed to Hōgo, the disciple of Ziun. This book forms part of a large collection, containing writings of Ziun, Hōgo, and others, and called *Bon-gaku-shin-riō*, i. e. Ferry beam for the study of Sanskrit.

नम सर्वज्ञाय

ॐ नम सर्वज्ञाय ॥

ॐ नमस्सर्वज्ञाय ॥

अर्यवरोक्तेश्वरा बोधिसत्त्व खंविरेय प्रज्ञापरमितय चर्ये
आर्यावलोकितेश्वर बोधिसत्त्व गम्भीरय प्रज्ञपरमितयं चर्ये
आर्यावलोकितेश्वर बोधिसत्त्वो गम्भीरं प्रज्ञपारमितायं चर्ये

चरमणे च्यम्भरोकयति स्म पंच स्कन्धस्थश्च स्वभावशुन्य
चारमनुष्य व्यवलोकयति स्म पंच स्कन्धास्तश्च स्वभावशुन्यां
चरमानो व्यावलोकयति स्म पंच स्कन्धास्ताश्च स्वभावशुन्यं

पश्यति स्म ईह शरिपुत्र रूपं शुचिता शून्यतैव रूपं न
पश्यति स्म इह शरिपुत्र रूपं शुन्यता शून्यतैव रूपं रूपन्त
पश्यति स्म इह शरिपुत्र रूपं शुन्यता शून्यतैव रूपं रूपान्न

पृथङ्गशून्यत शून्यधाय त पृणश्रूपं पंदूप स शून्यत य शून्यता
पृथक्स्वशून्यत शून्यतय न पृथक्श्रूपं यदूपं स शून्यत य शून्यते
पृथक् शून्यता शून्यताया न पृथयूपं यदूपं सा शून्यता य शून्यता

वदूपं एवमेव वेदनसंस्हरविज्ञतनि
वदूपं एवंपथ वेदनसंज्ञसंस्कारविज्ञननि च
तदूपं एवमैव वेदनसंज्ञसंस्कारविज्ञनाति

इह शरिपुत्र सर्वधर्मे शुन्यतरक्षण अतुल्यं अनिरुद्ध
 इह शरिपुत्र सर्वधर्मे शुन्यतलक्षण अनुत्पन्नं अनिरुद्धा
 इह शरिपुत्र सर्वधर्मा शुन्यतालक्षणा अनुत्पन्नायुनिरुद्धा

अमलाविमला तो न परिपुहु नस्मच्छरिपुत्र शुन्यताय
 अमलविमल न अवधाता न परिपुर्णं तस्मच्छरिपुत्र शुन्यतायं
 अमलविमला तोना न परिपुर्णा तस्माच्छरिपुत्र शुन्यतायं
 न रूपं न वेदन न संज्ञ न संस्हर न विज्ञवि न चक्षश्चूचघ्न-
 न रूपं न वेदन न संज्ञ न संस्करा न विज्ञनं न चक्षश्चूचघ्न-
 न रूपं न वेदना संज्ञ ना संस्कारा न विज्ञति न चक्षश्चूचघ्ना-
 णजिह्वकयमनद्भि न रूपं गन्धरससपृष्यधर्म न चक्षधतु
 णजिह्वकयमनज्झि न रूपं शब्दगन्धरसस्पर्शष्टव्यधर्म न चक्षधतु
 णजिह्वाकायमनोङ्कि न रूपं शब्दगन्धरसस्पर्शष्टव्यधर्म न चक्षधतु
 यवत मरोधतो न विद्य विद्य न विद्यक्षयो
 यवन न मनविज्ञनधतु न आविद्य न आविद्यक्षयो
 यावन्न मनोविजनंधातु न विद्या न विद्या न विद्यक्षयो न

२ यवत्त जरमरणं न जरमरणक्षयं न दुःखसमुदय-

यवन न जरमरणं न जरमरणक्षयो न दुःखसमुदय-
 विद्याक्षयो यावन्न जरामरणं न जरामरणक्षयो न दुःखसमुदय-
 निनरोधमर्गं न ज्ञनं न प्रपिद न प्रपितकं बोधिसत्त्वस्य प्रज्ञ-
 निरोधमर्गं न ज्ञनं न प्रपित न प्रपितत्वं बोधिसत्त्वस्य प्रज्ञ-
 निरोधमार्गं न ज्ञनं न प्राप्ति न प्राप्तिवं बोधिसत्त्वस्य प्रज्ञ-

परमितमश्रुत्य वहरत्य चित्त्ववरणः चित्त्ववरण नस्तिद्व-
 परमितमश्रुत्य विहरनि चित्त्ववरणः चित्त्ववरणः नस्तिव-
 पारमितामाश्रुत्या विहरनि चित्त्ववरणः चित्त्ववरण नास्तिवा-
 दचस्ते विपल्यसतिक्रातः तिष्ठनिर्वणः अध्वयस्थित सर्व-
 दचस्त विषष्टसतिक्रातः तिष्ठनिर्वणस्थियधिक्यस्थित सर्व-
 दचस्तो विपद्यसातिक्रान्तः तिष्ठनिर्वणः अध्वयवस्थित सर्व-
 बुद्ध प्रज्ञपरमितमश्रुत्य अनुत्तर संम्यक्संबोधिमभिसंबुद्ध
 बुद्धा प्रज्ञपरमितमश्रुत्य अनुत्तर संम्यक्संबोधियमभिसंबुद्ध
 बुद्धाः प्रज्ञपारमितामाश्रुद्यानुतरां सम्यक्संबोधिमभिसंबुद्धा

तस्म ज्ञतव्यं प्रज्ञपरमितमहामंचा महाविद्यमंचाः अतरमंचा
 तस्म ज्ञतव्यं प्रज्ञपरमितमहामंचा महाविद्यमंचा अनुत्तरमंचा
 तस्म ज्ञतव्यं प्रज्ञपारमितामहामंचो महाविद्यमंचः अनुत्तरमंच

असमसममंचा सर्वदुःखप्रशमतः सत्यममित्यद्वद् प्रज्ञपर-
 असमसममंचा सर्वदुःखप्रशम्यभीतः सत्यममिर्षयत्तद् प्रज्ञपर-
 असमसममंचा सर्वदुःखप्रशमन्तः सत्यममिथ्यत्वक् प्रज्ञपार-

मितयमुक्ते मंच तद्यथा गते गते परगते परसुंगते बुधि स्वहा
 मितमुक्ते मंच तद्यथा गते गते परगते परसुंगते बोधि स्वहा
 मितायामुक्ती मंच तद्यथा गते गते पारगते पारसुंगते बोधि स्वाहा

प्रज्ञपरमितहृदयमुचं समव्य ॥

प्रज्ञपरमितहृदयमुचं समप्र

प्रज्ञपारमिताहृदयमुचं समप्र

IV.

THE USHNÎSHA-VIGAYA-DHÂRANÎ AS AN
INSCRIPTION.

This Dhâranî, besides being preserved in MSS., is of frequent occurrence also as an inscription in Buddhist countries.

The copy of one of these inscriptions I owe to the kindness of Mr. A. Wylie, who, in a letter dated July 30, 1883, informed me that it was sent to him by Dr. MacCarthy from Japan, somewhere between 1872 and 1874. The stone tablet from which the rubbing is taken, stands in the Asakusa temple in the northern part of the city of Yedo.

In April 1881 some stone rubbings were sent to me by Mr. Vaux, the Secretary of the Royal Asiatic Society. They had been sent to the Society by Dr. Bushell, and I saw at once that they contained Buddhist inscriptions in Sanskrit with Chinese transliterations. The letters in these inscriptions are in some cases more archaic than those of the Asakusa inscription, and I hoped they would have been published long ago in the Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society. Three of the inscriptions contain the Ushnîsha-vigaya-dhâranî. They have been carefully copied by Mr. Bunyiu Nanjio, and are printed in three lines, preceded by the text of the Asakusa inscription.

Most of these Dhâranîs are prayers so utterly devoid of sense and grammar that they hardly admit and still less are deserving of a translation, however important they may be palæographically, and, in one sense, historically also, as marking the lowest degradation of one of the most perfect religions, at least as conceived originally in the mind of its founder. Here we have in mere gibberish a prayer for a long life, addressed to Buddha, who taught that deliverance from life was the greatest of all blessings. While the beautiful utterances of Buddha were forgotten, these miserable Dhâranîs spread all over the world, and are still to be found, not only in Northern, but in Southern Buddhism also. Many years ago, in 1858, I gave an account of some Dhâranîs, found in the cave of St. Peacock, in Ceylon, by Mr. J. Baillie, and sent to my old

friend, the then Radcliffe Observer, Mr. Johnson, by Sir H. Ward, the Governor of Ceylon. See *Zeitschrift der Deutschen Morgenländischen Gesellschaft*, vol. xii, p. 514, 'Dagobas aus Ceylon,' by Professor F. M. M. And now they turn up again on stone columns in China, where we can trace their presence to at least 1200 A.D. While the Sanskrit MSS., containing the rational and at all events grammatical words of Buddha, which were imported into China by hundreds and thousands, are said to have vanished, and are forgotten by those who call themselves followers of Buddha, we here find inscriptions engraved on public monuments so far back as A.D. 1107, and moved from temple to temple so lately as A.D. 1819. Here, as elsewhere, the truth of the Eastern proverb is confirmed, that the scum floats along on the surface, and the pearls lie on the ground.

There are at least ten different transliterations of the foregoing Dhâraṇī, in the Chinese Tripiṭaka, in the India Office Library. The following is a list of these transliterations, with their Chinese titles, in which those consisting of more than 4 leaves should be understood to contain the translation of an Introduction to the Dhâraṇī:—

1. No. 348 (佛頂尊勝陀羅尼經), in 8 leaves, by Buddha-pāli(ta), of Northern India, in about A.D. 700, under the Thān dynasty; with two prefaces, one dated 1411, by the Emperor K'ān-tsu of the Mīn dynasty.

2. No. 349 (佛頂尊勝陀羅尼經), in 7 leaves and a half, by Tu Hsiñ-i, an official at the Chinese court, in about 700.

3. No. 350 (佛說佛頂尊勝陀羅尼經), in 9 leaves, by I-tsiñ, a Chinese priest, in about 700.

4. No. 351 (最勝佛頂陀羅尼淨除業障經), in 14 leaves and a half, by Divākara, of Central India, in about 700. This is said to be a later production of this Indian priest.

5. No. 352 (佛頂最勝陀羅尼經), in 7 leaves, by the same as before, with a preface, dated 682. This seems to be the oldest of all the transliterations of this Dhâraṇī in existence. In the Catalogue of the period K'-yueñ, compiled in 1285, this Chinese title is given first with the following Sanskrit title: *आर्यसत्त्वदुर्गतिपरिचूदनोष्णीषविजयनामधारणी* (cf. Julien's Concordance, No. 173).

6. No. 796 (最勝佛頂陀羅尼經), in 3 leaves, by Fâ-thien ('Dharmadeva'), of India, about 1000, under the Suñ dynasty.

7. No. 834 (佛說尊勝大明王經), in 4 leaves, by Sh'-hu ('Dânapâla'), of India, about 1000.

8. No. 871 (佛說一切如來烏瑟膩沙最勝總持經), in 9 leaves, by Fâ-thien.

9. No. 878 (佛說聖最勝陀羅尼經), in 4 leaves and a half, by Sh'-hu.

10. No. 1467 (瑜伽集要焰口施食儀), in which (fols. 30 b-34 b) there are given in parallel columns the Sanskrit text with a transliteration (佛頂尊勝陀羅尼神咒), by Amoghavagra, who died in 774.

The text and transliteration in No. 1467, in the Chinese Tripiṭaka, are not exactly the same as those given below as No. 1; though the transliteration is ascribed to the same author.

The other transliteration, by Fâ-hu, printed below as No. 3, is not found in the Chinese Tripiṭaka as an independent work.

Thus there are twelve transliterations of the same or nearly the same Dhâranî, dated from 682 to 1058.

In Yueh-tsân-k'-tsin (關藏知津, fasc. 12, fol. 4 a) there is the following extract from the Introduction to the Dhâranî in I-tsin's translation, i. e. No. 350, in the Chinese Tripiṭaka:—

('At one time) Buddha lived in the garden of Anâthapindada. Then there was a Devaputra called Sushîkita, who was destined to die on the seventh day, and to go to receive the body of a Tiryagyoni, or a lower animal, in seven successive births, and then to fall into Niraya or Naraka. Fearing these future misfortunes, he consulted (lit. addressed) Sakra, the Indra or king of the Devas, who in turn asked Buddha for his help. (On that occasion) Buddha uttered this Dhâranî, and made the Devaputra to remember it. By this merit the latter increased his life, and obtained the prophecy of his attaining to the Bodhi.'

The other four earlier translations (i. e. Nos. 348, 349, 351, 352) are said to give a similar account. In No. 351 there is also a story of the former birth of this Devaputra. (Yueh-tsân-k'-tsin, fasc. 12, fol. 5 a.)

According to this work (fasc. 12, fol. 7 a), however, No. 871 gives a different introduction to the Dhâranî, which is as follows:—

‘In the country of Sukhâvatî, Buddha Amitâyus addressed this Dhâranî and the Law of accomplishment to the Bodhisattva Avalokiteśvara, and caused many beings to be born in that country.’

Here therefore the Dhâranî is ascribed to Buddha Amitâyus.

A similar account is given in a book, probably published in China, but without date¹. It is ‘A Collection of Miscellaneous Buddhist Sanskrit Texts,’ containing several Sûtras and Dhâranîs, such as the *Vaṅṅkhe-dikâpragñâpâramitâ-sûtra*; the *Avalokiteśvara-sûtra*, or the *Samantamukhâdhyâya*, i.e. the twenty-fourth (or twenty-fifth in Kumâragîva’s Chinese version) chapter of the *Saddharmapundarîka-sûtra*; and the *Pragñâpâramitâhridaya-sûtra*, etc. In this interesting collection (pp. 69–75), the present Dhâranî is introduced with the following lines:—

॥ नमो भगवत्या आर्यसर्वतथागतोष्णीषविजयायै ॥ एवं मया
श्रुतमेकस्मिन्समये भगवान्सुखावत्यां धर्मसंगीतिमहागुह्याप्रादावरे
मुखोपविष्टो भगवानमितायुस्तथागतोऽर्हन्सम्यक्संबुद्ध आर्या-
वलोकितेश्वरं बोधिसत्त्वं महासत्त्वमार्मचयते स्म ॥ आ संति
कुलपुत्र दुःखिताः सत्त्वा नानाव्याधिपरिपीडिता मंदायुष्कास्ते-
षामर्थयेमां सर्वतथागतोष्णीषविजयां नाम धारणीं धारय परे-
भ्यश्च विस्तरेण संप्रकाशय पर्यायस्कंधमुपादायेति । अथार्याव-
लोकितेश्वरो बोधिसत्त्वो महासत्त्व उत्थायासनात्कृतांजलिपूटो
भूत्वा भगवंतमेतदवोचत् । देशयतु भगवान्सर्वतथागतोष्णीषवि-
जयां नाम धारणीं देशयतु सुगतः । अथ खलु भगवान्सर्वावंतं
पर्वचंडलमवलीक्य समंतावलोकिश्रियं नाम समाधिं (समाधि)
समापद्येमां सर्वतथागतोष्णीषविजयां नाम धारणीं भाषते स्म ॥

¹ The only copy of this book which is known to exist was given to Mr. Wylie by an antiquarian at Peking, and is now in my possession.—F. M. M.

² Professor Oldenberg suggests गुह्यप्रासादवरे; see Rājendralal Mitra’s *Nepalese Buddhist Literature*, p. 267, l. 23.

नमो भगवते सर्वचैलोक्यप्रतिविशिष्टाय बुद्धाय (भगव)ते नमः
 तद्यथा ओं भूँ भूँ भूँ शोधय शोधय विशोधय विशोधय असमस-
 मन्तावभासस्फरणगतिगगनस्वभावविशुद्ध अभिषिंचोंतु मां सर्वत-
 थागताः सुगतवरवचनामृताभिषेकैर्महामुद्रामंचपदैः आहर आहर
 ममायुः सन्धारणि शोधय शोधय विशोधय विशोधय गगनस्व-
 भावविशुद्ध उष्णीषविजयपरिशुद्धे सहस्ररश्मिसंचोदिते सर्वतथा-
 गतावलोकिते षट्पारमितापरिपूरणि सर्वतथागतमाते दश-
 भूमिप्रतिष्ठिते सर्वतथागतहृदयाधिष्ठानाधिष्ठिते मुद्रे मुद्रे महामुद्रे
 वज्रकायसंहतनपरिशुद्धे सर्वकर्मावरणविशुद्धे प्रतिनिवर्तय ममा-
 युर्विशुद्धे सर्वतथागतसमयाधिष्ठानाधिष्ठिते ओं भुनि मुनि महा-
 मुनि विमुनि विमुनि महाविमुनि०

It ends (p. 75, l. 17): आर्यसर्वतथागतोष्णीषविजया नाम धा-
 रणी कल्पसहिता समाप्ता ॥

THE SANSKRIT TEXT OF THE USHNĪSHA-VIGAYA-DHĀRANĪ AND TWO TRANSLITERATIONS IN CHINESE.

1. The Sanskrit text with a transliteration, made by 大辯正廣智 Ta-pien-kañ-kwān-*k'*, i. e. posthumous title of Amoghavagra, who died in A. D. 774, under the Thān dynasty. (See 佛祖統紀 Fo-tsu-thuñ-*k'i*, fasc. 41, fol. 5 a.) These are given in an Inscription, the date of which is invisible, though the characters 元年, 'the first year,' are seen in the last column. In this Inscription the text and the transliteration are written side by side.

2. The text without transliteration, in an Inscription dated 1107, erected by 志妙 Ki-miāo, a Chinese priest, in memory of a deceased disciple of his, under the Liāo (遼) dynasty.

3. The text with a transliteration, made by 法護 Fā-hu (Dharma-

raksha), of India, who had the honourable title 普明慈覺傳梵大師 Phu-miñ-tshz'-kiào-kwen-fân-tâ-sh', given to him by the Emperor 仁宗 Zan-tsuñ, of the Suñ dynasty, in 1054, and died in 1058. (See Fo-tsu-thuñ-ki, fasc. 45, fols. 16 b, 17 a.) This Inscription is dated 1147, and was erected by 馮長寧 Fañ Khân-miñ, a noble of the Kin (金) dynasty. In 1819 (嘉慶二十四年) it was moved from its original place, i. e. the Hsiào-kuñ Temple to the south of Shih-ku, in the province of Honan, to the Temple of Kwán-ti, i. e. the god of war, within the walls of the city of Hsü-keu, by its governor 甘楊聲 Kân Yân-shañ. In this Inscription the text and transliteration are written separately.

The first line contains the text of the same Dhâraṇī, taken from the rubbing of a quite recent Stone Inscription at Asakusa in Tokio (No. V of the autotype plates).

Asakusa text: 佛頂尊勝陀羅尼 Butsu-kiō-son-shio-da-ra-ni,
'Buddhoshmishāryavigaya-dhāraṇī.'

Amoghavāgṛa } 佛頂尊勝陀羅尼
(died 774):

唐興善寺大德贈司空大辯正廣
智三藏和尚奉詔譯

Dharmarakṣa } 華梵加句靈驗佛頂尊勝陀羅尼
(died 1058): 天竺譯經三藏試光祿卿普明慈
覺傳梵大師賜紫沙門法護譯

Asakusa } नमः सर्वज्ञाय नमो भगवते -- चैलोक्य-

Amoghavāgṛa : { -¹ नमो भगवते -- चैलोक्य-
曩²□□□□ □□嚕□也吞

Ki-miào : - नमो भागवति -- चलोक्य-

Dharmarakṣa : { 𑖀 नमो भगवते सर्वचैलोक्य-
唵 那謨婆譏囉帝薩哩囉怛嚩路葛也

¹ This sign is meant to show that a syllable in Dharmarakṣa's text is left out in the other texts. ² These squares indicate that the letters are not quite legible.

प्रतिविशिष्टाय	बुद्धाय	भगवते
प्रतिविशिष्टाय	बुद्धाय	भगवते
鉢囉吞底瑟尾始瑟吒野	沒駄野	婆□□□
प्रतिविशिष्टाय	बुद्धाय	भागवति
प्रतिविशिष्टाय	बुद्धाय	भगवते
鉢囉帝尾尸瑟吒野	沒駄野	婆誒囉帝

-- तद्यथा	ओं	--	--	--	--
-- तद्यथा	ओं	--	--	--	--
-- □□□	□	--	--	--	--
-- ताद्य	ओं	--	--	--	--
नमः तद्यथा	ओं भूँ भूँ भूँ	शोधय	शोधय		
那莫恒寧也佉	庵勃籠勃籠勃籠	輪駄野	輪駄野		

विशोधय	५ (twice)	सम२समन्तावभास-
विशोधाय	- - -	समसमन्तावभास-
□□駄也	- - -	娑麼三去滿跢囉婆娑
विशोधाय	- - -	सामसामातवभास-
विशोधय	विशोधय	असमसमन्तावभास-
尾輪駄耶	尾輪駄耶	阿薩摩三滿多囉婆娑

स्फरण	गतिगहन	स्वभावविशुद्धे	अभि-
स्फरण	गतिगगन	स्वभाव शुद्धे	अभि-
颯頗吞囉拏	誒底誒誒□□	娑去囉第	阿上□
स्फरणा	गतिगहान	स्वभाव शुद्धि	अभि-
स्फरण	गतिगगन	स्वभवविशुद्धे	अभि-
颯頗蘭拏	誒帝誒誒那	娑婆囉尾戌提	阿毗

अमृताभिषेकै महा - - वन्त्रपदै -
मृताभिषेक - - - - -
□ 𑖀𑖡𑖛𑖤𑖥𑖦𑖧𑖨𑖩𑖪𑖫𑖬𑖭𑖮𑖯𑖰𑖱𑖲𑖳𑖴𑖵𑖶𑖷𑖸𑖹𑖺𑖻𑖼𑖽𑖾𑗀𑖿𑗁𑗂𑗃𑗄𑗅𑗆𑗇𑗈𑗉𑗊𑗋𑗌𑗍𑗎𑗏𑗐𑗑𑗒𑗓𑗔𑗕𑗖𑗗𑗘𑗙𑗚𑗛𑗜𑗝𑗞𑗟𑗠𑗡𑗢𑗣𑗤𑗥𑗦𑗧𑗨𑗩𑗪𑗫𑗬𑗭𑗮𑗯𑗰𑗱𑗲𑗳𑗴𑗵𑗶𑗷𑗸𑗹𑗺𑗻𑗼𑗽𑗾𑗿𑘀𑘁𑘂𑘃𑘄𑘅𑘆𑘇𑘈𑘉𑘊𑘋𑘌𑘍𑘎𑘏𑘐𑘑𑘒𑘓𑘔𑘕𑘖𑘗𑘘𑘙𑘚𑘛𑘜𑘝𑘞𑘟𑘠𑘡𑘢𑘣𑘤𑘥𑘦𑘧𑘨𑘩𑘪𑘫𑘬𑘭𑘮𑘯𑘰𑘱𑘲𑘳𑘴𑘵𑘶𑘷𑘸𑘹𑘺𑘻𑘼𑘽𑘾𑘿𑙀𑙁𑙂𑙃𑙄𑙅𑙆𑙇𑙈𑙉𑙊𑙋𑙌𑙍𑙎𑙏𑙐𑙑𑙒𑙓𑙔𑙕𑙖𑙗𑙘𑙙𑙚𑙛𑙜𑙝𑙞𑙟𑙠𑙡𑙢𑙣𑙤𑙥𑙦𑙧𑙨𑙩𑙪𑙫𑙬𑙭𑙮𑙯𑙰𑙱𑙲𑙳𑙴𑙵𑙶𑙷𑙸𑙹𑙺𑙻𑙼𑙽𑙾𑙿𑚀𑚁𑚂𑚃𑚄𑚅𑚆𑚇𑚈𑚉𑚊𑚋𑚌𑚍𑚎𑚏𑚐𑚑𑚒𑚓𑚔𑚕𑚖𑚗𑚘𑚙𑚚𑚛𑚜𑚝𑚞𑚟𑚠𑚡𑚢𑚣𑚤𑚥𑚦𑚧𑚨𑚩𑚪𑚫𑚬𑚭𑚮𑚯𑚰𑚱𑚲𑚳𑚴𑚵𑚷𑚶𑚸𑚹𑚺𑚻𑚼𑚽𑚾𑚿𑛀𑛁𑛂𑛃𑛄𑛅𑛆𑛇𑛈𑛉𑛊𑛋𑛌𑛍𑛎𑛏𑛐𑛑𑛒𑛓𑛔𑛕𑛖𑛗𑛘𑛙𑛚𑛛𑛜𑛝𑛞𑛟𑛠𑛡𑛢𑛣𑛤𑛥𑛦𑛧𑛨𑛩𑛪𑛫𑛬𑛭𑛮𑛯𑛰𑛱𑛲𑛳𑛴𑛵𑛶𑛷𑛸𑛹𑛺𑛻𑛼𑛽𑛾𑛿𑜀𑜁𑜂𑜃𑜄𑜅𑜆𑜇𑜈𑜉𑜊𑜋𑜌𑜍𑜎𑜏𑜐𑜑𑜒𑜓𑜔𑜕𑜖𑜗𑜘𑜙𑜚𑜛𑜜𑜝𑜞𑜟𑜠𑜡𑜢𑜣𑜤𑜥𑜦𑜧𑜨𑜩𑜪𑜫𑜬𑜭𑜮𑜯𑜰𑜱𑜲𑜳𑜴𑜵𑜶𑜷𑜸𑜹𑜺𑜻𑜼𑜽𑜾𑜿𑝀𑝁𑝂𑝃𑝄𑝅𑝆𑝇𑝈𑝉𑝊𑝋𑝌𑝍𑝎𑝏𑝐𑝑𑝒𑝓𑝔𑝕𑝖𑝗𑝘𑝙𑝚𑝛𑝜𑝝𑝞𑝟𑝠𑝡𑝢𑝣𑝤𑝥𑝦𑝧𑝨𑝩𑝪𑝫𑝬𑝭𑝮𑝯𑝰𑝱𑝲𑝳𑝴𑝵𑝶𑝷𑝸𑝹𑝺𑝻𑝼𑝽𑝾𑝿𑞀𑞁𑞂𑞃𑞄𑞅𑞆𑞇𑞈𑞉𑞊𑞋𑞌𑞍𑞎𑞏𑞐𑞑𑞒𑞓𑞔𑞕𑞖𑞗𑞘𑞙𑞚𑞛𑞜𑞝𑞞𑞟𑞠𑞡𑞢𑞣𑞤𑞥𑞦𑞧𑞨𑞩𑞪𑞫𑞬𑞭𑞮𑞯𑞰𑞱𑞲𑞳𑞴𑞵𑞶𑞷𑞸𑞹𑞺𑞻𑞼𑞽𑞾𑞿𑟀𑟁𑟂𑟃𑟄𑟅𑟆𑟇𑟈𑟉𑟊𑟋𑟌𑟍𑟎𑟏𑟐𑟑𑟒𑟓𑟔𑟕𑟖𑟗𑟘𑟙𑟚𑟛𑟜𑟝𑟞𑟟𑟠𑟡𑟢𑟣𑟤𑟥𑟦𑟧𑟨𑟩𑟪𑟫𑟬𑟭𑟮𑟯𑟰𑟱𑟲𑟳𑟴𑟵𑟶𑟷𑟸𑟹𑟺𑟻𑟼𑟽𑟾𑟿𑠀𑠁𑠂𑠃𑠄𑠅𑠆𑠇𑠈𑠉𑠊𑠋𑠌𑠍𑠎𑠏𑠐𑠑𑠒𑠓𑠔𑠕𑠖𑠗𑠘𑠙𑠚𑠛𑠜𑠝𑠞𑠟𑠠𑠡𑠢𑠣𑠤𑠥𑠦𑠧𑠨𑠩𑠪𑠫𑠬𑠭𑠮𑠯𑠰𑠱𑠲𑠳𑠴𑠵𑠶𑠷𑠸𑠺𑠹𑠻𑠼𑠽𑠾𑠿𑡀𑡁𑡂𑡃𑡄𑡅𑡆𑡇𑡈𑡉𑡊𑡋𑡌𑡍𑡎𑡏𑡐𑡑𑡒𑡓𑡔𑡕𑡖𑡗𑡘𑡙𑡚𑡛𑡜𑡝𑡞𑡟𑡠𑡡𑡢𑡣𑡤𑡥𑡦𑡧𑡨𑡩𑡪𑡫𑡬𑡭𑡮𑡯𑡰𑡱𑡲𑡳𑡴𑡵𑡶𑡷𑡸𑡹𑡺𑡻𑡼𑡽𑡾𑡿𑢀𑢁𑢂𑢃𑢄𑢅𑢆𑢇𑢈𑢉𑢊𑢋𑢌𑢍𑢎𑢏𑢐𑢑𑢒𑢓𑢔𑢕𑢖𑢗𑢘𑢙𑢚𑢛𑢜𑢝𑢞𑢟𑢠𑢡𑢢𑢣𑢤𑢥𑢦𑢧𑢨𑢩𑢪𑢫𑢬𑢭𑢮𑢯𑢰𑢱𑢲𑢳𑢴𑢵𑢶𑢷𑢸𑢹𑢺𑢻𑢼𑢽𑢾𑢿𑣀𑣁𑣂𑣃𑣄𑣅𑣆𑣇𑣈𑣉𑣊𑣋𑣌𑣍𑣎𑣏𑣐𑣑𑣒𑣓𑣔𑣕𑣖𑣗𑣘𑣙𑣚𑣛𑣜𑣝𑣞𑣟𑣠𑣡𑣢𑣣𑣤𑣥𑣦𑣧𑣨𑣩𑣪𑣫𑣬𑣭𑣮𑣯𑣰𑣱𑣲𑣳𑣴𑣵𑣶𑣷𑣸𑣹𑣺𑣻𑣼𑣽𑣾𑣿𑤀𑤁𑤂𑤃𑤄𑤅𑤆𑤇𑤈𑤉𑤊𑤋𑤌𑤍𑤎𑤏𑤐𑤑𑤒𑤓𑤔𑤕𑤖𑤗𑤘𑤙𑤚𑤛𑤜𑤝𑤞𑤟𑤠𑤡𑤢𑤣𑤤𑤥𑤦𑤧𑤨𑤩𑤪𑤫𑤬𑤭𑤮𑤯𑤰𑤱𑤲𑤳𑤴𑤵𑤶𑤷𑤸𑤹𑤺𑤻𑤼𑤽𑤾𑤿𑥀𑥁𑥂𑥃𑥄𑥅𑥆𑥇𑥈𑥉𑥊𑥋𑥌𑥍𑥎𑥏𑥐𑥑𑥒𑥓𑥔𑥕𑥖𑥗𑥘𑥙𑥚𑥛𑥜𑥝𑥞𑥟𑥠𑥡𑥢𑥣𑥤𑥥𑥦𑥧𑥨𑥩𑥪𑥫𑥬𑥭𑥮𑥯𑥰𑥱𑥲𑥳𑥴𑥵𑥶𑥷𑥸𑥹𑥺𑥻𑥼𑥽𑥾𑥿𑦀𑦁𑦂𑦃𑦄𑦅𑦆𑦇𑦈𑦉𑦊𑦋𑦌𑦍𑦎𑦏𑦐𑦑𑦒𑦓𑦔𑦕

आहर	२	आयुसंधारणि	शोधय
आहर	आहर	आयुसन्धारणि	शोधय
अ॒क्ष॒ण॒ह॒रो	अ॒क्ष॒ण॒ह॒रो	अ॒क्ष॒ण॒ह॒र॒□□॒ह॒रो॒泥	□□野
अहार	आहार	आयुसाधारणि	शोधाय
आहर	आहर	आयुःसन्धारणि	शोधय
अ॒क्ष॒ण॒ह॒रो	अ॒क्ष॒ण॒ह॒रो	अ॒क्ष॒ण॒ह॒र॒□□॒ह॒रो॒尼	輪॒क्ष॒ण॒野

२	- - - -	- - - -	गगन - - -
- - -	- - -	- - -	गगन - - -
- - -	- - -	- - -	ꣳꣳꣳ - - -
शाधाय	- - -	- - -	गगन - - -
शोधय	विशोधय	विशोधय	गगनस्वभाव-
輪馱野	尾輪馱野	尾輪馱野	ꣳꣳ那莎婆囉

विशुद्धे	उष्णीषविजयविशुद्धे	सहस्र-
विशुद्धे	उष्णीषविजयविशुद्धे	सहस्र-
尾秣第 ^अ	𑖦𑖦𑖦𑖦 尾惹𑖦𑖦𑖦𑖦	𑖦𑖦𑖦𑖦
विशुद्धे	उष्णीषविजयविशुद्धे	सहस्र-
विशुद्धे	उष्णीषविजयपरिशुद्धे	सहस्र-
尾戌提	烏瑟膩沙尾惹野鉢哩戌提	薩賀薩囉

रश्मिसंचूदिते	सर्वतथागतावहकनि
रश्मिसांचोदिते	सर्वतथागता - - -
𑖦𑖦𑖦 散祖你𑖦	薩囉多他藥哆
रश्मिसांचोदिति	सर्वतथागता - - -
रश्मिसांचोदिते	सर्वतथागतावलोकनि
囉濕彌散祖禰帝	薩哩囉怛佉誡多囉路吉你

षट्पारमितपरिपुरणि	सर्वतथागता - -
.....	
.....	
.....	
षट्पारमितापरिपूरणि	सर्वतथागतमाते
殺吒播囉彌多鉢哩布囉尼	薩哩囉怛佉誡多摩帝

.....		..
.....		..
.....		..
.....		..

दशभूमिप्रतिष्ठिते	सर्वतथागता	मम
捺舍普彌鉢囉底瑟耻帝	薩哩囉怛佉誡多	摩摩

छिते	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
छिते	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
□ □ □	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
छिति	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
छिते	ओं	मुनि	मुनि	महामुनि	विमुनि	विमुनि			
瑟耻帝	庵	母你	母你	摩賀母你	尾母你	尾母你			
-	-	-	मणि	५	महामणि	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	मणि	मणि	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	麼梔	麼梔	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	मणि	मणि	-	-	-	-	-
महाविमुनि	मति	मति	महामति	ममति	महा-				
摩賀尾母你	末底	末底	摩賀末底	摩摩底	摩賀				
-	-	-	तथा	भूतकोटिपरिशुद्धे					
-	-	-	तथा	भूतकोटिपरिशुद्धे					
-	-	-	□ □ □	部多句 𑖦 置跋哩 秣第 𑖦					
-	-	-	तथात	भूतकोटिपरिशुद्धे					
ममति	सुमति	तथात	भूतकोटिपरिशुद्धे						
摩摩底	蘇摩底	怛闍多	普多酤胝鉢哩戌提						
विस्फुटबुद्धिशुद्धे	-	-	-	जय	२	विजय			
विस्फुटबुद्धिशुद्धे	-	-	-	जाय	जाय	विजाय			
□ □ □ □ □ □ □	-	-	-	□ □	□ □	□ 惹野			
विस्फुटबुद्धि	शुद्धे	-	-	जय	जय	विजय			
विस्फुटबुद्धे	शुद्धे	ओं	हे हे	जय	जय	विजय			
尾塞普吒沒提	戌提	庵	係 係	惹野	惹野	尾惹野			

...	वज्रागर्भे --	
...	वज्रगर्भे --	
...	嚩日囉 𑖀 藥陞	
...	...	
सुवज्रे	वज्रगर्भेजय	गर्भेविजय
蘇嚩囉哩	嚩惹囉 𑖀 哩 𑖀 惹 野	𑖀 哩 𑖀 惹 野

.....

.....

 गर्भे - - -

गर्भवज्रज्जल

गर्भवज्रोन्भव

誒哩吽 嚩惹囉入 嚩囉

誒哩吽 嚩惹嚩捺婆尾

.....

.. ..

.. ..

- वज्रं
 - वज्रा
 - 嚩日嚩吞
 - वज्रं

वज्रसंभवे

वज्रे

वज्रे

णिवज्रं

嚩惹囉三婆尾

嚩囉哩

嚩囉哩

尼嚩惹嚩

भवतु

मम

शरिरं

सर्वसत्त्वानां

च काय-

भवतु

मम

- - -

सर्वसत्त्वानां

च काय

□□□

□□

- - -

□□□□ 難

左 迦野

भवतु

मम

- - -

सर्वसत्त्वानां

च काय

भवन्तु

मम

शरीरं

सर्वसत्त्वानाञ्च

, काय

婆嚩觀

摩摩

設哩嚩

薩哩嚩薩

唾喃

左歌野

परिविशुद्धे - - - -

- - -

सर्वगति-

- - विशुद्धे - - - -

- - -

सर्वग(ति-

- - 尾秣第

- - -

薩嚩誒底

- - विशुद्धे - - - -

- - -

- - - -

परिशुद्धेश्वरभवन्तु

मे सङ्ग

सर्वगति-

鉢哩戌提室左哩婆挽觀

彌

薩捺

薩哩嚩誒底

परिशुद्धे -	सर्वतथागतश्च मे	सम-
परिशुद्धे -	सर्वत)थागत -	समा-
跋哩 □ □ -	□ □ □ 他 ^去 藥多 -	三 ^去 麼 ^去 𑖦
-----	सर्वतथागत -	सम-
परिशुद्धिश्च	सर्वतथागतश्च	सम-
鉢哩 戌提室左	薩哩 𑖦 恒 佗 𑖦 多室左	薩摩

श्च सयंतो सर्वतथागतासमश्च	साधिष्ठिते	बुद्ध	५
श्च	साधिष्ठिते	बु(द्ध	बुद्ध
濕 𑖦 𑖦	娑 他 瑟 □ 帝 ^𑖦	□ □	□ □
श्च	साधिष्ठिति	बुद्ध	बुद्ध
श्चा	सयन्तु	बुद्ध	बुद्ध
設 𑖦	薩 演 觀	沒 亭夜	沒 亭夜

विबुद्ध २	बोधय २	विबोधय ५	
- - -	बोद्धाय बोद्धाय)	- - -	- - -
- - -	□ □ □ □ □ □	- - -	- - -
- - -	बोद्धाय बोद्धाय	- - -	- - -
सिध्य सिध्य	बोधय बोधय	विबोधय विबोधय	
悉 亭夜 悉 亭夜	冒 馱 野 冒 馱 野	尾 冒 馱 野 尾 冒 馱 野	

मोचय मोचय	विमोचय विमोचय	शोधय शोधय	
謨 左野 謨 左野	尾 謨 左野 尾 謨 左野	輪 馱 野 輪 馱 野	

...	...	...	...	...
...	...	...	...	...
...	...	...	...	...
...	...	...	...	...
विशोधय	विशोधय	समन्त	मोचय	मोचय
尾輪馱野	尾輪馱野	三滿多	謨左野	謨左野
समन्ता	परिशुद्धे	सर्वतथागता		
समन्त	परिशुद्धे	सर्व(तथागत		
三滿多	跋哩秣	薩囉		
समात	परिशुद्धे	सर्वतथागत		
समन्तरश्मिपरिशुद्धे		सर्वतथागत		मम
三滿多	囉濕彌鉢哩戌提	薩哩囉怛佉誡多		摩摩
हृदयाधिष्ठानाधिष्ठिते				
धिष्ठिते)				
□□□□				
धिष्ठानाधिष्ठित				
हृदयाधिष्ठानाधिष्ठिते		ओं मुद्रे	मुद्रे	
訖哩捺野提瑟咤那提瑟耻帝		唵 母捺哩	母捺哩	
महामुद्रे		स्वाहा ५	आर्य उ-	
महामुद्रे		स्वाहा		
麼賀	捺囉	娑囉	賀	
महामुद्रे		स्वाहा ॥		
महामुद्रे		स्वाहा	आर्यो-	
摩賀母捺囉	मन्त्रपदे	स्वाहा	阿哩瑜	
	滿怛囉鉢囉	莎賀		

ष्णीषविजय

नम

धारणि

- - - -

- - - -

- -

- - -

- - - -

- - - -

- -

- - -

- - - -

- - - -

- -

- - -

- - - -

ष्णीषविजय

नाम

धारणी

महामुद्रे

瑟膩沙尾惹野

那摩

陀羅尼

摩賀母捺哩

समग्रं ✽

- - -

- - -

- - -

समग्रा

薩摩鉢多

उपभिक्षुसयतथवन्दलितं¹

¹ This line is given in the Asakusa text only, and probably contains the name of the writer.

LIST OF DOCUMENTS MENTIONED IN THE
PRECEDING PAGES.

1. TEXT OF THE PRAGÑÂ-PÂRAMITÂ-HRĪDAYA-SŪTRA AND THE UŚHŪṢHA-VIGAYA-DHÂRANĪ.

- No. 1. Photograph of the palm-leaves of Hôriuzi.
 „ 2. Copy in the Asharagio. See Catal. Bodl. Japan., No. 46 a.
 „ 3. Facsimile sent by H. E. Iwakura. See ditto, No. 45 b.
 „ 4. Photograph of Ziogon's copy.
 „ 5. Old text of Hridaya-sûtra only, in } Sent by Mr. Ishikawa, now
 Hôkiô's book. } belonging to Prof. M. M.
 „ 6. Corrected text, in ditto.
 „ 7. Text in Hôgo's book. See Catal. Bodl. Japan., No. 37.
 „ 8. Copy of the Hridaya-sûtra, sent by Mr. Satow. See Catal.,
 No. 61.
 „ 9. Copy of the Hridaya-sûtra and the Dhârânî, sent by H. E.
 Iwakura. See Catal., No. 62.

N.B. The last two copies do not seem to have been made directly from the palm-leaves.

- No. 10. Copy of both texts, sent by Kanematsu and Ôta. See Catal.
Bodl. Japan., No. 63 a, b.

This copy was made by K. and Ô. with the help of Ziogon's copy, and after consulting the palm-leaves. See Introduction to the *Vagrabhikkhedikâ*, p. 5.

2. CHINESE TRANSLATIONS OF THE SHORTER TEXT OF THE PRAGÑÂ-PÂRAMITÂ-HR/DAYA-SÛTRA.

1. A.D. 400, by Kumāragīva, in Cat. of Buddh.Tripitaka, by B.N., No. 19.
 2. „ 649, by Hiouen-thsang, *ibid.*, No. 20.
- (The shorter text is said to have been brought to Japan in A. D. 600.)

3. CHINESE TRANSLATIONS OF THE FULLER TEXT.

- * 1. A. D. 785-810, by Pragñā. Not found in the India Office Collection.
2. „ 980-1000, by Sh'hu (Dānapāla?), Cat. Buddh. Trip., No. 935.
(The fuller text is said to have been brought to Japan about A. D. 850.)

V.

THE TWO TEXTS OF THE PRAGÑĀ-PĀRAMITĀ-
HRIDAYA-SŪTRA.

Although the interest of the documents published in the preceding pages is purely archæological, and, to a certain extent, historical, it may be useful to add here a translation of the two texts, the smaller and larger, of the *Pragñā-pāramitā-hrīdaya-sūtra*. The smaller is an abstract of the larger, but even the larger text is only a very short epitome of the doctrines developed in the *Pragñāpāramitā*, and therefore hardly to be rendered intelligible by means of a translation.

PRAGÑĀ-PĀRAMITĀ-HRIDAYA-SŪTRA.

SHORTER TEXT RESTORED.

॥ नमः सर्वज्ञाय ॥

Adoration to the Omniscient !

आर्यावलोकितेश्वरबोधिस-
त्त्वो गंभीरायां प्रज्ञापारमितायां
चर्या चरमाणो व्यवलोकयति
स्म । पञ्च स्कन्धाः तांश्च स्वभा-
वशून्यान्पश्यति स्म ।

The venerable Bodhisattva Avolokitesvara, performing his study in the deep *Pragñāpāramitā* (perfection of wisdom), thought thus : 'There are the five Skandhas, and these he considered as by their nature empty (phenomenal).'

इह शरिपुत्र रूपं शून्यता
शून्यतैव रूपं रूपान्न पृथक्
शून्यता शून्यताया न पृथग्रूपं
यद्रूपं सा शून्यता या शून्यता
तद्रूपं ।

'O Sāriputra,' he said, 'form here is emptiness, and emptiness indeed is form. Emptiness is not different from form, form is not different from emptiness. What is form that is emptiness, what is emptiness that is form.'

एवमेव वेदनासंज्ञासंस्कार-
विज्ञानानि ।

इह शारिपुत्र सर्वधर्माः शून्यतालक्षणा अनुत्पन्ना अनिरुद्धा अमला न विमला नीना न परिपूर्णाः । तस्माच्छारिपुत्र शून्यतायां न रूपं न वेदना न संज्ञा न संस्कारा न विज्ञानानि । न चक्षुः श्रोत्रघ्राणजिह्वाकायमनांसि । न रूपशब्दगंधरसस्पर्शव्यधर्माः ।

न चक्षुर्धातुर्यावन्न मनोधातुः ।

न विद्या नाविद्या न विद्याक्षयो नाविद्याक्षयो यावन्न जरामरणं न जरामरणक्षयो न दुःखसमुदयनिरोधमार्गो न ज्ञानं न प्राप्तिर्विज्ञानं ।

‘The same applies to perception, name, conception, and knowledge.’

‘Here, O Sāriputra, all things have the character of emptiness, they have no beginning, no end, they are faultless and not faultless, they are not imperfect and not perfect. Therefore, O Sāriputra, in this emptiness there is no form, no perception, no name, no concepts, no knowledge. No eye, ear, nose, tongue, body, mind. No form, sound, smell, taste, touch, objects.’

‘There is no eye,’ etc., till we come to ‘there is no mind.’

(What is left out here are the eighteen Dhātus or aggregates, viz. eye, form, vision ; ear, sound, hearing ; nose, odour, smelling ; tongue, flavour, tasting ; body, touch, feeling ; mind, objects, thought.)

‘There is no knowledge, no ignorance, no destruction of knowledge, no destruction of ignorance,’ etc., till we come to ‘there is no decay and death, no destruction of decay and death ; there are not (the four truths, viz. that there) is pain, origin of pain, stoppage of pain, and the path to it. There is no knowledge, no obtaining (of Nirvāṇa).’

बोधिसत्त्वस्य प्रज्ञापारमि-
तामाश्रित्य विहरति चित्ताव-
रणः । चित्तावरणनास्तित्वादच-
स्त्वो विपर्ययासातिक्रान्तो निष्ठ-
निर्वाणः ।

अध्वयवस्थिताः सर्वबुद्धाः प्र-
ज्ञापारमितामाश्रित्यानुत्तरां स-
म्यक्संबोधिमभिसंबुद्धाः ।

तस्माज्ज्ञातव्यो प्रज्ञापारमि-
तामहामंचो महाविद्यामंचो
ऽनुत्तरमंचोऽसमसममंचः सर्व-
दुःखप्रशमनः सत्यममिथ्यत्वात्
प्रज्ञापारमितायामुक्तो मंचः ।
तद्यथा गते गते पारगते पार-
संगते बोधि स्वाहा ।

॥ इति प्रज्ञापारमिताहृदयं
समाप्तं ॥

‘A man who has approached the *Pragñāpāramitā* of the Bodhisattva dwells enveloped in consciousness¹. But when the envelopment of consciousness has been annihilated, then he becomes free of all fear, beyond the reach of change, enjoying final *Nirvāṇa*.’

‘All Buddhas of the past, present, and future, after approaching the *Pragñāpāramitā*, have awoke to the highest perfect knowledge.’

‘Therefore one ought to know the great verse of the *Pragñāpāramitā*, the verse of the great wisdom, the unsurpassed verse, the peerless verse, which appeases all pain—it is truth, because it is not false—the verse proclaimed in the *Pragñāpāramitā* : O wisdom, gone, gone, gone to the other shore, landed at the other shore, *Svāhā*!’

Thus ends the heart of the
Pragñāpāramitā.

¹ See Childers, s. v. *ñittam*.

PRAGÑĀ-PĀRAMITĀ-HR/IDAYA-SŪTRA.

LARGER TEXT RESTORED.

A copy of this fuller text was sent to me from Japan by two young priests, Kanematsu and Ōta (see *Anecdota Oxoniensia, Āryan Series*, vol. i, part i, pp. 6-7), and received at Oxford the 7th of October, 1880. It is the copy of a copy, preserved at a large monastery, generally called Hasedera, of the Shingon sect at Hase in Yamato, and contains, besides the text, a Chinese translation and transliteration made, during the Thang dynasty, by an Indian priest, called *Pragñā*, of Kipin or Cabul, who also translated a chapter of the *Buddhāvataṃsakavaipulyasūtra*, A.D. 796-798. The original is believed to have been brought from China by a Japanese priest, Yeun, one of the ten great disciples of Kukai (died A.D. 835), the founder of the Shingon sect in Japan, and was preserved among the treasures in a monastery called Shio kiiin, on the mountain Kōya, in the province of Kii. The copy is now deposited in the Bodleian Library; see *Cat. Bodl. Japan.*, No. 63. I quote it as J.

Nearly the same text is also to be found in the 'Chinese Collection of Sanskrit Texts,' under the title of *Āryapañcavimsatikā-Bhagavati-Pragñāpāramitāhrīdayam*. I quote it as W.

॥ नमः सर्वज्ञाय ॥

एवं मया श्रुतं । एकस्मिन्समये भगवान्नाजगृहे विहरति स्म
गृध्रकूटे पर्वते महता भिक्षुसंघेन सार्धं महता च बोधिसत्त्वसंघेन ।
तेन^१ खलु समयेन भगवान्गंभीरावसंबोधनाम समाधिं समापन्नः ।
तेन च समयेनार्यावलोकितेश्वरो बोधिसत्त्वो महासत्त्वो 'गंभी-
रायां प्रज्ञापारमितायां चर्या चरमाण एवं अवलोकयति स्म ।
^२ पंच स्कंधास्तांश्च स्वभावशून्यं अवलोकयति । ^३ अथायुष्माञ्छा-

^१ गणेन W.^२ पुनः W.^३ बोधनमसमधिं J. गंभीरावभासं नाम

धर्मपर्यायं भाषित्वा समाधिं W.

^४ गंभीरावभासं नाम धर्मपर्यायं अप^० W.^५ From पंच

to कयति left out in W.

^६ जय सत्त्वा^० W.

रिपुचो बुद्धानुभावेनार्यावलोकितेश्वरं बोधिसत्त्वमेतदवोचत् ।
 यः^२ कश्चित्कुलपुचो^३ गंभीरायां प्रज्ञापारमितायां चर्या चर्तुकामः
 कथं शिक्षितव्यः । एवमुक्तं आर्यावलोकितेश्वरो बोधिसत्त्वो
 महासत्त्व आयुष्मन्तं शारिपुचमेतदवोचत् ।^४ यः कश्चिच्छारिपुच
 कुलपुचो वा कुलदुहिता वा^५ गंभीरायां प्रज्ञापारमितायां चर्या^६
 चर्तुकामस्तेनैवं^७ व्यवलोकयितव्यं । पंच^८ स्कंधास्तांश्च स्वभाव-
 शून्यान्समनुपश्यति स्म ।^९ रूपं शून्यता शून्यतैव रूपं । रूपान्न
 पृथक् शून्यता शून्यताया न पृथग्यूपं । यदूपं सा शून्यता या शून्यता
 तदूपं । एवं वेदनासंज्ञासंस्कारविज्ञानानि च शून्यता^{१०} । एवं
 शारिपुच सर्वधर्मा^{११} शून्यतालक्षणा^{१२} अनुत्पन्ना अनिरुद्धा अम-
 ला विमला अनूना^{१३} असंपूर्णाः । तस्मात्तर्हि शारिपुच शून्यतायां
 न रूपं न वेदना न संज्ञा न संस्कारा न विज्ञानं । न चक्षुर्न
 श्रोत्रं न घ्राणं न जिह्वा न कायो न मनो न रूपं न शब्दो न
 गंधो न रसो न^{१४} स्पर्शव्यं न धर्माः । न चक्षुर्धातुर्यावन्न^{१५} मनोधा-
 तुर्न धर्मधातुर्न मनोविज्ञानधातुः ॥ न विद्या नाविद्या न क्षयो

^१ W. adds महासत्त्व. ^२ यत्क° W. ^३ चो वा कुलदुहिता वास्यां गं° W. ^४ ताया
 चर्तुकामस्तेन कथं शिक्षितव्यं W. ^५ यत्क° W. ^६ वास्यां गंभीरायां W. ^७ Deest in W.
^८ शिक्षितव्यं यदुक्त W. ^९ पंच स्कंधाः स्वभावशून्याः कथं पंच स्कंधाः स्वभावशून्याः W.
^{१०} रूपमेव शून्यता शून्यतैव रूपं । न रूपं पृथक् शून्यतायाः नापि शून्यता पृथग्युपात्त एवं W.
^{११} च शून्यता deest in W. ^{१२} स्वभावशू° W. ^{१३} अजाता अनु° W. ^{१४} अनोना J.
^{१५} न स्पर्शव्यं न धर्माः W. न स्पर्शव्यं न धर्म J. ^{१६} Instead of यावन्न मनोधातुर्न
 (धर्मधातुर्न left out in J.) मनोविज्ञानधातुः W. writes out the whole list of Dhātus, viz.
 न चक्षुर्धातुर्न रूपधातुर्न चक्षुर्विज्ञानधातुः । न श्रोत्रधातुर्न शब्दधातुर्न श्रोत्रविज्ञानधातुः । न
 घ्राणधातुर्न गंधधातुर्न घ्राणविज्ञानधातुः । न जिह्वाधातुर्न रसधातुर्न जिह्वाविज्ञानधातुः । न काय-
 धातुर्न स्पर्शधातुर्न कायविज्ञानधातुः । न मनोधातुर्न धर्मधातुर्न मनोविज्ञानधातुः ।

यावन्न जरामरणं न जरामरणक्षयः । न दुःखसमुदयनिरो-
धमार्गा

From here the text in W. differs so much from J. that it will be better to print them separately :

J.

W.

न ज्ञानं न प्रा-
प्तिर्नाप्राप्तिः । तस्माच्छारिपुच
अप्राप्तित्वेन बोधिसत्त्वानां प्र-
ज्ञापारमितामाश्रित्य विहरति
चित्तावरणः चित्तावरणनास्ति-
त्वादचस्तो विपर्ययासातिक्क्रांतो
निष्ठनिर्वाणः । अध्वयस्थिता
सर्वबुद्धाः प्रज्ञापारमितामाश्रि-
त्यानुत्तरां सम्यक्संबोधिमभि-
संबुद्धाः । तस्माज्ज्ञातव्यः प्रज्ञा-
पारमितामहामंचो महाविद्या-
मंचोऽनुत्तरमंचोऽसमसममंचः
सर्वदुःखप्रशमनमंचः सत्यमभि-
व्यत्वात् प्रज्ञापारमितायामुक्तो
मंचः । तद्यथा । गते गते पार-
गते पारसंगते बोधि स्वाहा ॥

एवं शारिपुच गंभीरायां प्र-
ज्ञापारमितायां चर्यायां शिक्षि-

न रूपं न ज्ञानं न प्रा-
प्तिर्नाप्राप्तिः । तस्मात्तर्हि शा-
रिपुच अप्राप्तिताप्राप्तिर्यावव-
त्प्रज्ञापारमितामाश्रित्य विह-
रंश्चित्तालंबनं नास्तित्वादचस्तो
विपर्ययासातिक्क्रांतो निष्ठानि-
र्वाणं प्रप्नुति । अध्वयवस्थितैर-
पि सम्यक्संबुद्धैः प्रज्ञापारमि-
तामाश्रित्यानुत्तरा सम्यक्संबो-
धिः प्राप्ता । एतस्माज्ज्ञातव्यः
प्रज्ञापारमितामंचो विद्यामंचो
ऽनुत्तरो मंचः सर्वदुःखप्रशमनो
मंचः सम्यक्कं न मिथ्यात्वं प्र-
ज्ञापारमितायुक्तो मंचः । तद्य-
था । गते गते पारगते पारसं-
गते बोधि स्वाहा ॥

एवं शारिपुच बोधिसत्त्वेन म-
हासत्त्वेन प्रज्ञापारमितायां शि-

तथ्यं बोधिसत्त्वेन । अथ खलु भगवान् तस्मात्समाधेर्युत्था-
 यार्यावलोकितेश्वरस्य बोधि-
 सत्त्वस्य साधुकारमदात् । साधु
 साधु कुलपुत्र एवमेतत्कुल-
 पुत्र । एवमेतद्गंभीरायां प्र-
 ज्ञापारमितायां चर्यं चर्तय्यं
 यथा त्वया निर्दिष्टमनुमोद्यते
 तथागतैरर्हद्भिः¹ । इदमवोचद्भ-
 गवानानन्दमना आयुष्माञ्छा-
 रिपुत्र आर्यावलोकितेश्वरश्च
 बोधिसत्त्वः सा च सर्वावती प-
 र्षत्सदेवमानुषासुरगन्धर्वश्च लो-
 को भगवतो भाषितमभ्यनन्दन्
 इति प्रज्ञापारमिताहृदयसूत्रं
 समाप्तं ॥

क्षितय्यं । अथ खलु भगवां-
 स्तस्यां वेलायां तस्मात्समाधे-
 र्युत्थायावलोकितेश्वराय बो-
 धिसत्त्वाय महासत्त्वाय साधु-
 कारमदात् । साधु साधु कुलपुत्र
 एवमेतत्कुलपुत्र एवमेतत् । एव-
 मेवैषा प्रज्ञापारमिता यथा त्व-
 या निर्दिष्टानुमोद्यते सर्वतथा-
 गतैरर्हद्भिः सम्यक्संबुद्धैः । इद-
 मवोचद्भगवानानन्दमना आर्या-
 वलोकितेश्वरो बोधिसत्त्वो म-
 हासत्त्वस्ते च भिक्षवस्ते च बो-
 धिसत्त्वा महासत्त्वाः स च सर्वा-
 वती पर्वत्सदेवमानुषासुरगरु-
 डगन्धर्वश्च लोको भगवतो भा-
 षितमभ्यनन्दन्निति ॥ आर्यपञ्चा-
 विंशतिका भगवती प्रज्ञापार-
 मिताहृदयं ॥

¹ अनुमोद्य तथागते कृतिः J.

TRANSLATION OF THE LARGER PRAGÑĀPĀRAMITĀ.

Adoration to the Omniscient!

This I heard. At one time the Bhagavat dwelt at Rāgagriha, on the hill Gridhrakūṭa, together with a large number of Bhikshus and a large number of Bodhisattvas.

At that time the Bhagavat was absorbed in a meditation, called Gambhīrāvasambodha. And at the same time the great Bodhisattva Āryāvalokitesvara, performing his study in the deep Prañāpāramitā, thought thus: 'There are the five Skandhas, and those he considered as something by their nature empty.'

Then the venerable Sāriputra, through Buddha's power, thus spoke to the Bodhisattva Āryāvalokitesvara: 'If the son or daughter of a family wishes to perform the study in the deep Prañāpāramitā, how is he to be taught?'

On this the great Bodhisattva Āryāvalokitesvara thus spoke to the venerable Sāriputra: 'If the son or daughter of a family wish to perform the study in the deep Prañāpāramitā, he must think thus:

There are five Skandhas, and these he considered as by their nature empty. Form is emptiness, and emptiness indeed is form. Emptiness is not different from form, form is not different from emptiness. What is form that is emptiness, what is emptiness that is form. Thus perception, name, conception, and knowledge also are emptiness. Thus, O Sāriputra, all things have the character of emptiness, they have no beginning, no end, they are faultless and not faultless, they are not imperfect and not perfect. Therefore, O Sāriputra, here in this emptiness there is no form, no perception, no name, no concepts, no knowledge. No eye, ear, nose, tongue, body, and mind. No form, sound, smell, taste, touch, and objects. There is no eye, etc., till we come to there is no mind, no objects, no mind-knowledge. There is no knowledge, no ignorance, no destruction (of ignorance), till we come to there is no decay and death, no destruction of decay and death; there are not (the four truths, viz.) that there is pain, origin of pain, stoppage of pain, and the path to it. There is no knowledge, no obtaining, no not-

obtaining of Nirvâna. Therefore, O Sâriputra, as there is no obtaining (of Nirvâna), a man who has approached the Pragñâpâramitâ of the Bodhisattvas, dwells (for a time) enveloped in consciousness. But when the envelopment of consciousness has been annihilated, then he becomes free of all fear, beyond the reach of change, enjoying final Nirvâna.

All Buddhas of the past, present, and future, after approaching the Pragñâpâramitâ, have awoke to the highest perfect knowledge.

Therefore we ought to know the great verse of the Pragñâpâramitâ, the verse of the great wisdom, the unsurpassed verse, the verse which appeases all pain—it is truth, because it is not false¹—the verse proclaimed in the Pragñâpâramitâ²: “O wisdom, gone, gone, gone to the other shore, landed at the other shore, Svâhâ!”

Thus, O Sâriputra, should a Bodhisattva teach in the study of the deep Pragñâpâramitâ.

Then when the Bhagavat had risen from that meditation, he gave his approval to the venerable Bodhisattva Avalokitesvara, saying, ‘Well done, well done, noble son! So it is, noble son. So indeed must this study of the deep Pragñâpâramitâ be performed. As it has been described by thee, it is applauded by Arhat Tathâgatas.’ Thus spake Bhagavat with joyful mind. And the venerable Sâriputra, and the honourable Bodhisattva Avalokitesvara, and the whole assembly, and the world of gods, men, demons, and fairies praised the speech of the Bhagavat.

Here ends the Pragñâpâramitâhrīdayasūtra.

The teaching of the Pragñâpâramitâ, as here epitomised, can only be understood in connection with the ordinary teaching of Buddhism. If literally translated, it seems often utterly unmeaning. But it is in reality but one of the many attempts in philosophy to express the purely phenomenal or unreal character of all human knowledge. Kant, literally translated into Sanskrit, would be as unmeaning to Buddhist Bodhisattvas as the Pragñâpâramitâ, turned into English, is to us.

The text begins with denying the reality of the Skandhas. Skandha is a name which has perplexed both the followers and the students of Buddhism. It is, I think, best explained by *râsi*³, collection, but I

¹ It is truth, not falsehood, W.

² Fit for obtaining Pragñâpâramitâ, W.

³ Burnouf, Introduction, p. 512.

believe in our modern way of thinking and speaking, a collective or abstract suffix, or a simple plural termination, would express it more correctly when it is used in such compounds as rūpa-skandha, vedanā-skandha, saṃgñā-skandha, saṃskāra-skandha, vigñāna-skandha. These five skandhas constitute what we should call the consciousness of an intelligent subject, because an intelligent subject presupposes for its very existence five things, viz. (1) forms or diversities that can be perceived, (2) every kind of perception, (3) every kind of name, (4) every kind of concept, (5) every kind of knowledge. Almost every writer on Buddhism has given his own interpretation of these terms. To my mind they are nothing but the essential conditions of consciousness and knowledge. There can be no conscious knowledge without rūpa, i.e. forms, or objects differing from each other, and capable of becoming the objects of perception. These rūpas are generally reckoned as twenty-eight, such as earth, water, fire, air; eye, ear, nose, tongue, body; colour, sound, scent, flavour; female sex, male sex, vital force, heart, gesture, speech, ether; lightness, softness, pliability, accumulation, extension, decay, impermanence, material food. Altogether the rūpas may be said to constitute the external or objective world, including the organised body of man.

On the other side we have Vedanā, sensuous perception, followed by saṃgñā, the process of naming (λόγος). This again is the *conditio sine qua non* of saṃskāra, concepts, and from them arises vigñāna, knowledge. Sometimes these four conditions are comprehended under the name of nāma, name, λόγος; and Nāma-rūpa thus becomes a term, designating the conscious individual, consisting as we should say of body and mind, or of objective impressions and subjective apprehension.

All this which represents the result of Buddhist psychological thought, is here declared to be sūnya, empty, conditioned, relative, phenomenal. All things, as known to us, all dharmas, are, according to the Pragñāpāramitā, not real in the highest sense, but phenomenal only, subjective, temporal, and passing away.

The lists of these psychological terms are so well known in Buddhist literature that, in order to avoid constant repetition, we often find the expression yāvat, i.e. from this till we come to that. So when the Dhātus or the elements constituting sight, etc., come to be mentioned, one text says, 'Not the Eye-dhātu, i.e. there is no eye-element, till we

come to there is no mind-element.' The other text (W.) gives the whole list. I had to insert *na dharmadhātuḥ*, because between *manodhātuḥ*, the whole of the mind, and *manovigñānadhātuḥ*, the whole of the knowledge gained by the mind, the writer must have left out *dharmadhātuḥ*, i. e. the whole of the individual objects cognisable by the mind. What follows afterwards refers to the well-known *pratītyasamutpāda*, the chain of causation which exists in the world, and which it is the object of Buddha's teaching to stop. Here too almost every scholar has proposed a new interpretation. If I add my own, it is only as a contribution to a subject which is as yet far from being fully understood. The chain of causes begins in Buddhism, as in the Upanishads, with—

- (1) *Avidyā*, ignorance. From it arise
- (2) *Samskāra*, concepts or ideas. From them arises
- (3) *Nāmarūpa*, the subject-object, as explained before, i. e. *rūpa*, objects; *vedanā*, perception; *samgñā*, naming; *viññāna*, knowing. This is manifested in
- (4) *Shadāyatana*, the six organs of sense, eye, ear, nose, tongue, body, and *manas*, the common sensory. These being there, there is the possibility of
- (5) *Sparsa*, contact between subject and object. From that springs
- (6) *Trishṇā*, thirst, desire. From this
- (7) *Upādāna*, a laying hold of, appropriating, clinging to things, which produces
- (8) *Bhava*, being, existence, subjective relation to objective things. This takes the form of
- (9) *Gāti*, birth, which is invariably followed by
- (10) *Garāmaraṇa*, decay and death, and all the evils of life, i. e. *duḥkha*, pain, which, according to Brāhmins as well as Buddhists, is the cause of all philosophy.

The *Pragñāpāramitā* teaches that this chain of causes is empty or apparent only, that there is no such thing as real *Avidyā*, ignorance, and, as it adds, no *vidyā* either, therefore also no destruction of *avidyā*, which is the aim of Buddha's philosophy. This negation of the ten causes and effects is then supposed to be carried on till we come to the tenth, viz. there is not really decay and death, and therefore no destruction of decay and death.

Next follows a negation of the four great truths of Buddhism, viz. that

there exists pain, as the result of the ten causes, that there is a cause for such pain, that the cause of it can be stopped, and that Buddha has pointed out the way to stop it. These four truths constitute knowledge, and lead to Nirvāṇa; but, according to the *Pragñāpāramitā*, there is again no such knowledge, and no such obtaining or not-obtaining of Nirvāṇa. And as that is so, a man should draw near to *Pragñāpāramitā*, and would then be *kittāvaraṇa*. This is rendered by the Chinese translators as 'without obstacles arising from thought or consciousness,' i. e. *kitta-avaranaḥ*. This may be right, but we may also take it as *kitta-avaranaḥ*, 'enveloped in thoughts and sorrows,' because the text goes on to say, that when this envelopment too has been perceived to be nothing, final, firm, real Nirvāṇa is obtained, such as the *Pragñāpāramitā* alone can give.

NATIONAL ARCHIVES OF INDIA

APPENDIX.
PALAEOGRAPHICAL REMARKS
ON THE
HORIUZI PALM-LEAF MSS..

BY G. BÜHLER.

NATIONAL ARCHIVES OF INDIA

PALAEOGRAPHICAL REMARKS ON THE HORIUZI PALM-LEAF MSS.

I.

PROFESSOR Max Müller's discovery of the Horiuzi palm-leaves and the acquisition of trustworthy facsimiles of these documents, which we owe to his sagacity and untiring energy, are events the importance of which for Indian palaeography cannot be estimated too highly. In the first place, the mere fact of their existence puts an end to the doubts and misgivings, entertained by some of the most distinguished Sanskritists, regarding the age of the palm-leaf MSS. found during the last ten years in Nepāl and in Western India. Owing to the curse of uncertainty which seems to attach to most historical and literary documents, purely Indian, the possibility, at least, of doubting the age of the palm-leaves, discovered in India, could hitherto not be denied, in spite of the dates which their colophons very frequently exhibit. The objection, raised by Professor A. Weber and Dr. Burnell, that the dates might have been copied from more ancient originals, and that in some cases the fresh look of the palm-leaves favoured such a supposition, was, though not unanswerable, yet sufficiently plausible to remove the manuscripts from the class of the *ὁμολογούμενα*, and to place them in that of the *ἀντιλεγόμενα*. It was, indeed, possible to answer, as has been ably done by Mr. C. Bendall in his palaeographical introduction to the Catalogue of the Cambridge Collection¹, that the climate of the places where the finds were made, the tradition and the circumstances of the country, the correctness of the historical and astronomical statements contained in the MSS., and the chain of palaeographical and monumental evidence made their genuineness exceedingly probable. But there was not a single one among them regarding which one could say that its age was guaranteed by trustworthy external evidence, and, therefore, absolutely unassailable. This is the point in which the Horiuzi palm-leaves, though undated, are so much superior to all similar documents, and through which they gain a paramount importance for

¹ Catalogue of Buddhist Sanskrit Manuscripts, p. xvii ff.

the palaeographist. In their case we can say with full confidence¹, 'We have good evidence, showing that these leaves were brought to Japan in 609 A. D., and that they came from China. It is further probable that in China they belonged to the monk Yashi, who died in 577 A. D., and before him to Bodhidharma, who emigrated from India to China in 520 A. D.' Leaving all probabilities aside, it is certain that this MS., which evidently has been written by an Indian scribe, cannot date later than the first half of the sixth century A. D. As it is thus proved that a palm-leaf MS. has lasted more than thirteen hundred years, and, in spite of its transmission from India to China, and from China to Japan, has remained in a very fair condition, and is for the greater part legible, it is no longer reasonable to entertain on general grounds misgivings regarding the age of the Nepālese Bauddha and the Western India Gaina MSS., the earliest of which are dated from three to five centuries later. The force of this argument becomes even stronger, if it is taken into consideration that the MSS., belonging to the last two classes, were mostly kept in or near the places where they were written, and frequently left untouched for centuries, as well as that the climate of Nepāl and of the dry plain of Western India is more favourable to the preservation of such documents than that of Japan.

Important as is the service thus rendered to us by the Horiuzi palm-leaves, they yield, on closer examination, still more valuable archaeological and palaeographical results. First, they show that the writing materials were exactly the same as those employed later by the Bauddhas and Gainas, and that the technical contrivances used by the writer, and his method in forming the letters, partly resemble those still in use among the Indian Lekhakas. Secondly, they prove that in the first half of the sixth century a perfectly developed literary or cursive alphabet was used in Central India, the characters of which are, with the exception of very few letters, identical with those of the most ancient palm-leaf MSS. from Nepāl, while they differ from those of the cognate contemporaneous inscriptions, found in the same parts of India. Where they do not agree with the latter, they mostly show more advanced or more strongly modified forms, which in their turn appear in the inscriptions about two hundred years later, i. e. in the eighth century. Hence it is evident that in this case, at least, we have to reject the

¹ See Professor Max Müller's Letter, printed in the Transactions of the Sixth International Congress of Orientalists at Leiden, pp. 124-128.

commonly received theory¹, according to which the modifications of the characters, used in inscriptions, present a faithful view of the history of the Indian alphabet, and in particular give an ocular demonstration of the gradual development of the literary alphabets. On the contrary it is plain that in this case the characters of the official documents lagged behind those employed for literary purposes, and that they were gradually modified through the influence of the latter. The lesson, taught us by the Horiuzi palm-leaves, fully agrees with the precisely similar conclusions, drawn by Professor Dowson, Dr. Burgess, myself, and others from the simultaneous occurrence of archaic and modern-looking letters on a number of copper-plate grants from Gugarât², and a comparison of the current handwriting, used on the latter, with the characters of the palm-leaves makes it highly probable that, as early as the beginning of the sixth century, two somewhat differing literary alphabets existed in the northern half of India. These results, which might be further confirmed and expanded by a correct interpretation of certain passages from various ancient works enumerating the names of a great number of Indian alphabets, will force the Indian palaeographers to modify their method of investigation which hitherto was based exclusively on a comparison of the epigraphic alphabets, and henceforth to pay greater regard to those used for literary purposes. In order to make these various points clearer, it will be advisable to subject the Horiuzi MS. to a close and detailed examination, and to compare its letters with those of other old MSS., and of the allied inscriptions.

II.

According to the facsimile the Horiuzi palm-leaves measure each eleven inches and a half, while their breadth slightly differs. The second (B) is nearly two inches broad. The left half of the first (A) has the same size, but its right half gradually tapers off towards the end, where it measures only one inch and three quarters. The inequality is due to the peeling off of a strip at the lower end, which must have occurred when the leaf was trimmed and prepared for use, because the

¹ This theory is worked out fully, and with seeming success, in Dr. Burnell's *Elements of South-Indian Palaeography*, the standard work on Indian epigraphy.

² *Journ. Roy. As. Soc., New Series*, IV, 265-266; *Ind. Ant.*, V, 113; XI, 305; XII, 151; XIII, 70; *Arch. Rep. W. Ind.*, IV, 79.

writing in the seventh line stops exactly at the point where the leaf begins to become narrower. These dimensions agree very closely with those found frequently in the Nepālese and Gaina palm-leaf MSS., see Bendall, Catalogue, Nos. 1161, 1267, 1648, 1649, 1653, 1657-8, 1662, 1679, 1691, 1699, 1701-8; Kielhorn, Report for 1880-1, Nos. 1, 20, 30, 32, 50, 68, 73. Each leaf shows two small holes, placed three inches from either end, and almost exactly in the middle between the top and the bottom, as they divide the fourth line into three parts. As is known from the usage still prevailing in Southern India, and from the examples found in the ancient Nepālese and Gaina palm-leaf MSS., the holes were intended to pass a string which kept the leaves together. One side of each leaf is left blank. This circumstance shows that the two were intended to form a complete diminutive Pothî or manuscript. For according to the Indian custom, observable in ancient and modern MSS., the outer sides of the first and last leaves are not utilised, because the letters would be destroyed by the friction of the wooden boards or metal plates, between which the Pothis are usually placed.

The number of lines is on the first leaf six and a half, and on the second seven; the number of aksharas or syllables in each line varies between 47 (B. l. 6) and 65 (A. l. 6 and B. l. 2). The lines are so straight and the distances between them have been kept so carefully, that one is led to suspect the writer having had some such contrivance as a wooden board with parallel strings tied across, which the modern copyists of Western India usually place under the thick country-paper in order to be able to keep the line. This circumstance as well as the regularity and neatness of the letters points to the conclusion that the writer was a skilled Lekhaka, while the numerous uncorrected clerical mistakes show that he was not a scholar. The whole style of the writing shows that it has been done with a hard-nibbed pen, possibly a reed-pen, but not with a brush. The same conclusion may be drawn from the appearance of the half-effaced letters, and the look of the latter makes it further very probable that the ink was of the same quality as that used by the Gaina writers for their ancient palm-leaf MSS. When copying the ancient MS. of the *Vikramāṅkaṭarita* at Gesalmir, I found several passages where, though the ink had been rubbed off, the outlines of the letters were yet recognisable with the help of a magnifying glass¹. It also

¹ *Vikramāṅkaṭarita*, Introduction, p. 45. If after the ink has been rubbed off, the

happened several times that on my touching a leaf incautiously the ink of one or several letters came off in a cake or dissolved into a fine black powder, leaving the outlines of the aksharas still faintly visible. A great many letters on the Horiuzi leaves present exactly the same appearance as the half-effaced characters of the *Ġesalmír* MS., while the space between them looks as if it had been blackened by the dust or powder of the rubbed-off ink. It is worthy of note that, if the various copies of the original on plates ii-iv are to be trusted¹, the MS. must have suffered greatly during the last few years. For a number of letters, which the copies give, are illegible on the photograph or have quite disappeared.

In turning to the consideration of the letters, it will be advisable to begin with a remark on a statement, made by Ziogon, which seems to reveal their ancient name. He says in the third 'Additional Note,' above, p. 16, 'Among the Mo-ta (*Mātra* or vowels²) the Fourteen Sounds³ (of Siddha), the four letters of *ri*, etc. (*rt*, *li*, *ll*) are added. It shows that these are the Brahma letters of Central India.'

outlines of the letters remain visible, that is the most certain sign that the MS. has been written with a pen. For that can only happen when small portions of the fluid are forced by pressure into the surface of the leaves.

¹ It was because I did not quite trust these copies that I waited before publishing them till I had received a photograph. My impression is that the copies depended more or less on Ziogon's copy or on old wood-blocks preserved in the monasteries. Ziogon, who lived two hundred years ago, could evidently read many letters which we can only guess at. The wear and tear of the palm-leaves need not therefore be ascribed to the last few years.—F.M.M.

² Mota, I think, ought to be rendered in Sanskrit not by *Mātrā*, but by *Mātrikā*, 'matrix.' For initial vowels cannot be called *Mātrā*, which either means aksharāvaya 'mora,' or denotes the e-stroke. *Mātrikā*, on the other hand, is the technical name of the *varṇasamāmnāya* or the whole alphabet, as taught in the indigenous schools (*lekhasālās* or *pāṭhasālās*) of India, and also of each individual sign (*matrīkā-kshara*) or spoken syllable (*mātrīkāpada*), occurring in this alphabet. The former meaning of *mātrīkā* is given by Hemaṇḍra, see the Petersburg Dictionary, sub voce, where, owing to a misprint in the Calcutta edition of the *Anekārthakosha*, a second erroneous meaning, *svara*, 'vowel,' has been added (Zachariae, *Lexicographische Beiträge*, p. 85, correction of H. an. III, 81). The second meaning occurs in the introductory verse of the *Mātrīkākosha*, published in Phateh-Nārāyaṇasimha's Twelve Koshas, Benares, Saka, 1787. It is also given in Molesworth's *Marāṭhī Dictionary*.

³ This curious expression, which Ziogon uses also, p. 14, in his description of the contents of the leaves, refers, I think, to a division of the letters into fourteen groups of sounds identical with or similar to that which we find in the fourteen *Māhesvara Sūtras* of Pāṇini.

The name Brahma letters, i. e. brahmākṣarāṇi or brāhmī lipi which Ziogon thus assigns to the characters of the Horiuzi palm-leaves, has a double meaning. It may denote all Indian writing, because according to an ancient myth the invention of the alphabet is ascribed to Brahman, the creator. This story is explicitly mentioned by Hiouen Tchang, *Mémoires*, I, p. 71, and in the fragments of the *Bṛihaspati Smṛiti*¹. Its existence is also implied by Al-Bêrûnî's remark that the invention of the Indian alphabet was 'une révélation du ciel'², as well as by the customary representation of Brahman in pictures and sculptures where he holds an inscribed leaf or book in one of his hands³. But the term brāhmī lipi has also a more restricted meaning, and denotes a particular Indian alphabet in the well-known passage of the *Lalita-vistara*, p. 143 (Calcutta edition)⁴. Both these significations are apparent in the interesting passages from the *Gaṇa Āgamas*, quoted and discussed by Professor Weber, *Indische Studien*, XVI, pp. 280, 399-401, where it is said that the bambhī livī (brāhmī lipi) has eighteen varieties, the first of which is again called bambhī. If Ziogon took his expression in this restricted sense, and if the tradition on which he based his assertion is trustworthy, it may be that he teaches us the precise meaning of an ancient term which hitherto was no more than an empty name.

The palaeographical character of the alphabet of the Horiuzi palm-leaves is determined chiefly by the following general principles, visible in the formation of the letters: 1. the separation of the akṣaras from each other; 2. a predilection for the use of small wedges, the so-called nail-heads; 3. the substitution of flat tops for the angular or round ones of the old alphabets; 4. the development of right-hand verticals, projecting beyond the body of the letters; 5. the retention of open tops wherever they existed in the old letters.

The separation of the akṣaras was, I think, carried through in all cases, though some letters, e. g. of A. I. 6, look on the photograph as if they were connected. But it seems to me that this appearance is merely due to the 'conversion of the ink-crust into a fine powder which stained the surrounding parts of the leaf. The custom of keeping the akṣaras

¹ Führer, Darstellung der Lehre von den Schriften bei Bṛihaspati, vs. 2.

² Re naud, Mémoire, p. 297.

³ See e. g. Moore, *Hindu Pantheon*, plate i, where however an incorrect explanation of the attribute is given in the text.

⁴ See also Foucaux's French translation of the Tibetan text, p. 122.

separate in small blocks prevails in all the ancient inscriptions and in the oldest palm-leaf MSS.¹ It may also be noticed in many later, even Devanāgarī paper MSS., where the writers have not been over-anxious to save space, or have not cared to prolong the horizontal top-strokes beyond the edges of the letters.

The wedges, which perhaps are the most characteristic point in this alphabet, are employed in various ways. They are placed at the top of the down-stroke or, if the letter has several down-strokes, at the top of the left-hand one. In this manner they are used in forty-one, or, if the copies on plates ii-iv, which alone give the letter *llaṃ*², may be trusted, in forty-two, out of the fifty-one characters of the alphabet. Another use to which the wedges are put, is to mark the end of horizontal strokes, as in the letters *na*, *ga*, and *ṭa*, or the lower end of down-strokes as in *kha*, *ga* (left-hand limbs), *gḥa*, and *ra*. Finally they serve as substitutes for curved or broken lines in the left-hand limbs of *bha* and *sa*. In the two latter cases, the top of the wedge is turned sideways or downwards. It is evident that the primary object of their employment at the top of down-strokes was to clearly define the end, to make the letters regular, and to mark the line. Various expedients have been tried by the ancient Hindus in order to effect these purposes. The oldest and simplest, which probably is the parent of the rest, consists in the addition of a small horizontal line, the so-called serif, to the top of the down-strokes, mostly the left-hand ones. It appears first, though rather irregularly, in many of the Andhra and Kshatrapa inscriptions of the Western caves, and becomes more constant on the copper-plates of the Guptas, the kings of Valabhī, those of Veṅgī, the Pallavas, Kalukyas, and so forth³. On the plates, especially those from the South, the line is sometimes slightly curved like a diminutive crescent, whence the angle at the top of the Kanarese and Telugu characters seems to be derived. By an extension of the serif to either side the characteristic top-line of the Nāgarī alphabets

¹ Bendall, Catalogue, p. xliii.

² As the exact shape of this letter seems to me doubtful, I shall not take it into consideration in the sequel. From its position I infer that it is meant for the Vedic *ṭa*, which in many indigenous Indian tables of the alphabet is placed between *ha* and *kṣa*.

³ In illustration of these and the following remarks, Burgess, Indian Alphabets, Arch. Rep. W. I. vol. iv, plate v, and the plates in Burnell, Elements of South-Indian Palaeography, may be compared.

is obtained. Another modification of the serif is the small square, either hollow or filled in, which is found in the *Vākātaka* inscriptions, and in *Kandragupta's* Udayagiri inscription of *Samvat* 82¹. The wedge, too, seems to be a descendant of the serif, and due to its artistic combination with the down-strokes. It occurs first in the Gupta inscriptions of the *Kuhāon* type, and is found in a very great number of later epigraphic documents from all parts of India, either by itself or in association with prolonged horizontal lines which close the tops of the letters. The latter process has given rise to the *Kuṣīla* writing. A transformation of the wedge is the hollow triangle which occurs sporadically in many inscriptions, otherwise characterised by wedges².

The substitution of flat tops for angular round ones, which appears in the letters *e*, *kha*, *ga*, *ṛa*, *tha*, *dha*, and *sa*, is without doubt like the use of the wedges, due to the desire to make the characters more regular, and, above all, to mark the line. Sporadic instances of the operation of this principle occur in the Gupta inscriptions of the *Kuhāon* type and other documents of the same period.

The right-hand verticals projecting beyond the body of the letters are found in the letters *kha*, *ga*, *gha*, *ka*, *ta*, *tha*, *dha*, *pa*, *ba*, *ma*, *ya*, *la*, *va*, *sa*, *sha*, and *ṣa*, and occasionally in *na*. Sometimes there is instead of the vertical a slightly curved line, the ends of which incline towards the right. These peculiar down-strokes are either extensions of the old short ones, or substitutes for lines, curving to the right (e.g. in *ta*, *tha*). They probably owe their origin to the practice, still generally prevalent among Indian *Lekhakas*, of beginning the letters on the left side, next making the right-hand stroke, and finally adding the connecting links between the two³. With this method it was natural to allow a free sweep to the pen in forming the right-hand down-stroke, and to make it somewhat longer than the left-hand portion. When the connecting link was made, the down-stroke of course protruded beyond the body of the letter⁴. Though the origin

¹ Cunningham, Reports, IX, plate xix. 2.

² See e.g. No. 8 of Dr. Bhagvānlāl's *Nepāl Series*, Ind. Ant. IX, 171.

³ See my remarks on this subject in my *Leitfaden für den Sanskrit Elementar-cursus*, Note zur Schrifttafel. I may add that in the case of complicated signs like *kha*, the process of formation is as follows : 1. क, 2. ख, 3. क्ख, 4. क्ख.

⁴ Sometimes the side-stroke protrudes in flat-topped letters also beyond the top-line, and through an artistic treatment of the upper prolongation of the vertices arise the

of this characteristic seems thus merely due to an accident, it has effected a very important transformation in the shape of the letters. It makes them look as if the right-hand stroke was not an integral portion of the letter, but merely a support on which the real letter leans. Looking at the formation of the compound letters in the modern Devanāgarī, where the right-hand vertical is so frequently omitted, it seems to me not doubtful that the Lekhakas, who first framed groups like **अ, झ, ञ**, really considered the verticals to be unessential. Though the alphabet of the Horiuzi palm-leaves is a long way behind the development which the modern Devanāgarī has reached, it yet shows clearly how that was produced.

While the last-mentioned three peculiarities are innovations, produced by the same tendencies which operated in the formation of the modern Devanāgarī, the retention of the open tops in those letters where the old alphabets have them, is an archaic feature.

Besides these general principles, there are several minor characteristic points, which can only be brought out fully by a separate consideration of each letter. In turning to this task, it will be advisable to combine with it the not less important comparison of the cognate alphabets, used in manuscripts and inscriptions. The number of documents which by their characters are more or less closely allied to the Horiuzi palm-leaves is so great, that it is necessary to make a selection among them, and to take into consideration only a few typical ones to which dates can be assigned with some certainty. Among the literary alphabets the most useful are (1) that preserved in the oldest Nepālese MSS., Nos. 1049 and 1702 of the Cambridge Collection (Bendall, Catalogue, plate i), the former of which, according to Mr. Bendall's very probable conjecture, dates from the year 252 of the Śrīharsha era, or 858-9 A.D. (2) The Śāradā alphabet of Kasmīr, which, according to the evidence of the coins, has certainly been in use since the times of Avantivarman or the middle of the ninth century A.D. Among the epigraphic alphabets the most serviceable are (1) the Gupta alphabet of the Kuhāon pillar¹, and for some letters that of the Indokhera copper-plate², dated respectively in Guptasamvat 141 and 146, and probably

little horns or projections at the side of **ga, sa**, etc., on the Morbi plate and other inscriptions with ornamental characters.

¹ Indian Antiquary, X, 125.

² Journ. Beng. Br. Roy. As. Soc. XLIII, 364.

belonging to the first half of the fourth century A.D.¹ (2) The Nepālese alphabets of Dr. Bhagvānlāl's series of inscriptions, which are dated in two different eras, Nos. 1-4, *Samvat* 386-535, and Nos. 6-15, *Samvat* 34-153, and probably range between the middle of the fourth and the middle of the eighth centuries A.D.² (3) That of the *Ghālrapāthan* inscription, dated in the year 746 of an unnamed era, and hence in no case earlier than 689-90 A.D., but possibly later³. (4) The closely-allied alphabets of the *Sāmangadh* plates of Dantidurgā, dated *Sakasamvat* 675 or 753-54 A.D.⁴, and of the signatures of Dadda Prasāntarāga, on the Gurgara plates, *Sakasamvat* 380-415 or 458-493 A.D.⁵, which exhibit the oldest known form of the Devanāgarī alphabet.

* ¹ I consider the traditional date of the beginning of the Gupta era, 319 A.D., to be impossible for these inscriptions. Sir E. C. Bayley's calculations, which on the basis of the dates of the Kabul coins, fix it in 190 A.D., seem to me most probable.

² Indian Antiquary, IX, 163 seqq. The beginning of the era used in the first four may be calculated approximately with the help of the *Likkhavi vamsāvali*, given in No. 15. It falls shortly before the beginning of the Christian era. The details of the calculation will be published in the 'Considerations on the Chronology of Nepāl,' now being printed in the Indian Antiquary. The reasons why the dates of Nos. 6-15 must be referred to the Sriharsha era have been given by Mr. Bendall, Catalogue, p. xli.

³ Indian Antiquary, V, 180. The *Ghālrapāthan* inscription furnishes a good example of an archaic type, closely allied to the alphabet of the Horiuzi palm-leaves, from Western India. Other examples of the same type are found on the seal of Sarva-varman, the Maukhari (Journ. Roy. As. Soc. III, p. 377), on the Buddhist clay seals from Valabhī, Kanheri (Journ. Bomb. Br. Roy. As. Soc. VI, plates vii^a-vii^d), and Java (Burnell, Elements, plate xxii), on the Kāmavana inscription (Ind. Antiquary, X, 34), on the Morbi plate, dated Gupta (Gautpta)-*samvat* 585 (Ind. Antiquary, II, 258), on the Deogarh pillar, dated (Vikrama)-*samvat* 919 and *Sakasamvat* 784 (Cunningham, Reports, X, plate xxiii), on the Seven Pagodas (Burnell, Elem. plate xxii), and on a number of unpublished photographs and facsimiles, among which the Darāvatāra fragment of the Rāshtrakūṭas (transcript published, Burgess, Arch. Rep. W. I. vol. v, 87-89) may be specially mentioned. All these documents show, in spite of numerous small divergencies, a family likeness, and agree in principle with the alphabet of our palm-leaves. There is not a single one among them which can be referred with certainty to an earlier period than the eighth century A.D.

⁴ Indian Antiquary, XI, 108.

⁵ Umetā plates, Indian Antiquary, VII, 61; Kaira plates, Journ. Roy. As. Soc. N. S. IV, p. 248, plates ii and iii. Though Dr. Bhagvānlāl (Indian Antiquary, XIII, 71 seqq.) has expressed strong doubts with respect to the genuineness of the Umetā and Ilāo plates, and has referred the dates of the Kaira plates to the seventh century, I have no hesitation in saying that his suspicions against the former are unfounded. My chief argument is that another unpublished grant of king Dadda Prasāntarāga exists, which

In order to facilitate reference, the annexed plate vi gives a tabular view of the most important among these alphabets, as well as of that of the Horiuzi palm-leaves. The characters have been taken from the published facsimiles referred to in the preceding notes. Only for the Sâradâ alphabet, tracings of the unpublished MS. of the Sâkuntala nâṭaka (Deccan College Coll. of 1876-1877, No. 192) have been used, and for a few letters of column viii, impressions from the original Bagumrâ plates. The compiler of the table is Dr. Pfurtscheller, of Vienna. I now proceed to a detailed and comparative examination of the characters of the Horiuzi palm-leaves.

III.

A. INITIAL VOWELS.

a differs from Gu.¹ and the older alphabets, by the curve open to the left in the lower portion of the left half of the letter, and by the shortening of the right-hand vertical. The former peculiarity is characteristic of all the literary alphabets of Northern India. Identical with H. P. are Ne. MSS. 1049 and 1702, Sâ., the modern Devanâgarî of Western India, Ne. I. Nos. 13-15, while Nos. 1-9, 12 agree with Gu., and No. 11 has a transitional form, similar to *Ghâ*. Sâ. agrees, too, but has, in addition, a closed top.

â is formed like a with the addition of the mark of the long vowel, for which both a curve at the lower end of the right-hand vertical and the usual â-stroke to the right of the top are used. The first form occurs in Ne. MS. 1049 and Ne. I. No. 15 (No. 1 showing the old a

is dated in Sakasamvat 415, and mentions an eclipse of the sun which really happened on the day named. This grant, the Bagumrâ plates, will be shortly published in the Transactions of the Vienna Academy, together with a discussion of the whole Gurgara question. I will add, already here, that in consequence of Dr. Bhagvânâlâl's discovery of a longer series of Gurgara kings, I no longer refer the date of the Kâvi plate of Gayabhara (Indian Antiq. V, 103) to the Vikrama era. I admit that Mr. Fleet's and General Cunningham's calculations, which make the date Sam. 486-equal to 736 A. D., are probably correct.

¹ In the sequel the following abbreviations will be used : Gu. = Gupta ; Gu. Ind. = Gupta of the Indokhera plates ; Gu. Ku. = Gupta of Kuhâon ; H. P. = Horiuzi palm-leaves ; *Ghâ*. = *Ghâlrapâṭhan* inscription ; Ne. I. = Nepâlese inscriptions of Dr. Bhagvânâlâl's series ; Ne. MSS. = Nepâlese MSS. ; Sâ = Sâradâ alphabet ; Sâ. = Dantidurga's Sâmagadh plates ; U. B. = signatures on the Umetâ and Bagumrâ plates. Figures in brackets without any addition refer to the columns on the accompanying table.

with the curve below). The second is found in Ne. MS. 1702. The curve at the bottom is used as a sign of the length, in many ancient and modern alphabets from various parts of India, compare e.g. the Vengī, Vattelutu, the modern Grantha, Tamil, and Tulu (Burnell, Elem. plates i, xv-xviii). It is almost a principle in Indian palaeography, that the place where a stroke, denoting length, may be attached to the akshara, is immaterial, and that the choice of its form, whether straight, curved, or round, depends entirely on convenience.

ī differs from the ancient forms by the arrangement of the dots or circles in a triangle, the base of which is turned upwards, and the apex downwards, as well as by the addition of a small curve to the lower dot. This arrangement of the dots is, no doubt, due to the desire to mark or to keep the line. The immediate precursor of the H. P. form is that of Gu. Ind. Gu. Ku. shows a slightly different arrangement of the dots and wedge instead of the right-hand upper dot. This form occurs throughout in Ne. I. Nos. 1-12, while Nos. 13-15 have the character of H. P., which appears also in *Ghā.*, *Sā.*, *Sā.*, Ne. MSS., and many other Northern alphabets. It continues sporadically in the Gaina Devanāgarī, as late as the fifteenth century.

ṛ is characterised by the arrangement of the four dots which form a rhombus instead of a square (Andhra, Nānāghāt, Burgess, Ind. Alph. 5), and by the curve of the lower dot. The form of H. P. occurs on the Morbi plate in the name *Gālkadeva*. Ne. MS. 1049 differs slightly, as the dot above the line has a very minute tail. *Sā.* differs, its form, which consists of a straight line and two dots, being derived from that used on the Gurgara plates (Kaira) ṛ.

u is again a test-letter, and characterised by the curve to the left into which the right-hand horizontal stroke of the old Maurya and Andhra letter has been converted. Gu. shows still a curve to the right, and so do Ne. I. Nos. 1-12. But Ne. I. 13-15, Ne. MSS., *Sā.*, *Ghā.*, *Sā.*, as well as all the Northern literary alphabets, agree with H. P. either fully or very closely.

ū differs from the short vowel by a straight slanting stroke, issuing from the right side of the wedge, and has thus a slightly more archaic appearance than the closely allied forms of Ne. MS. 1049, *Sā.*, and the other modern literary alphabets, where the long vowel is marked by a curve attached in various ways.

ri seems to be the parent of the modern Devanāgarī forms, comes nearest to that used by the Marāṭhās, and is allied to the Nandināgarī form र्ल (left out by Burnell). A comparison of the forms preserved in Ne. MS. 1049 and Sâ., leads me to suspect that the letter has in all cases been formed out of *ra* by the addition of a curve turned to the right, which serves to denote the medial *ri* in the Gupta and later alphabets. The differences in the form are caused partly by the adoption of various forms of *ra* as matrix or basis, and partly by the difference in the manner in which the curve is attached. In the H. P. sign, the basis is the left-hand part, a *ra* consisting of a vertical with a small horizontal line attached to the middle, on the left side, the curve denoting the medial *ri* has been expanded and placed to the right of the matrix, the connexion being formed by a horizontal bar. In the letter of Ne. MS. 1049 the matrix is a *ra*, consisting of a short vertical with a knob-like projection on the left, and the curve has been attached to the latter. In the Sâ. form, the *ra* chosen as the matrix is the straight down-stroke, and the curve has been added to its lower end.

ri consists of the same elements and the mark of the long vowel, which in H. P. consists of a curve, in Ne. MS. 1049 of a slanting straight stroke, and in Sâ. of a loop, all being attached on the right side, though at different elevations.

l and *li* find their counterparts only in Ne. MS. 1049. The sign for *li*, given in the latter, seems to be that of H. P., only turned the other way, and the large curve, which in the *li* of Ne. MS. is interlaced with the sign for the short vowel, represents the small slanting stroke which denotes the long vowel in H. P. Both the signs for the short vowel seem to be modifications of the cursive *la*, known from the Gurgara plates of the fifth century, and the Valabhî grants of Śīlāditya I, and his successors (Burgess, Ind. Alphabets, 29).

e shows the old triangle, but with the base turned upwards, and the apex downwards. This inverted form occurs already in the Mathurā Inscr. No. 20 (Cunningham, Reports, III, plate xv), the era of which is in my opinion not that of Kanishka, but the Gupta. In the Gupta inscriptions, both this and the older form, with the apex to the left or the right, are used, and the same vacillation is observable in the Ne. I., where Nos. 9 (l. 12) and 13-15 have the flat-topped form, while No. 10, l. 2, and No. 12, l. 15 exhibit the old one. The H. P. form occurs also

in *Ghâ.*, *Sâ.*, Ne. MS. 1049, and is the parent of all the varieties of the letter which are used in the *Sâ.*, Devanâgarî, and other alphabets.

o consists of an u with a *prishthamâtrâ*, and consequently is formed on the same principle as the old Maurya and Andhra o (Burgess, Ind. Alph. 1 and 16). Ne. MS. 1049 agrees almost exactly. *Sâ.* comes also very close, but substitutes another form of the *prishthamâtrâ*, while the *Gaina* Devanâgarî marks the latter by a straight stroke above the top ऋ and the *Brâhmanical* Devanâgarî in the word Om by a curve ॐ. As far as I can judge the word Om, which precedes in the H. P. the *Sûtra*, the *Dhârani*, and the table of letters, did not differ from the letter, given in plate vi. Plates ii-iv give, however, a somewhat different sign, which occasionally occurs at the beginning of inscriptions. Ziogon (above, p. 16) mistakes it for a variety of ऋ i.

au is interesting by the manner in which a distinctive mark, in reality an â-stroke, is attached on the right side. Ne. MS. 1049; *Sâ.*, and the Western *Gaina* Devanâgarî agree very closely with H. P.

B. SINGLE CONSONANTS.

ka retains its ancient cross or dagger-shape in combination with virâma (i, 65), and in the groups kta (i, 66), ksha (i, 67), and kya (?). In all other cases it shows to the left of the central down-stroke a heart-shaped figure, and to the right a downward prolongation of the crossbar ending in a slight twist to the right. The latter form occurs in Ne. I. 12 (once l. 23), 13-15, Ne. MS. 1049, and *Sâ.* It is clearly the parent of the forms used in *Sâ.*, the modern Devanâgarî, and other literary alphabets of Northern India. In Ne. I. 13-15 and *Sâ.* the older form is used too, and the rule, regulating its use, seems to be that it is retained, whenever a vowel or consonant is placed under ka. Thus we find it in ku, *kri*, kta, ksha, and kya, but not in ki, ko, etc. It is evident that the occasional retention of the crossbar in compound letters in the Devanâgarî¹, *Sârâdâ*, and other literary alphabets is a remnant of this usage. *Ghâ.* and Ne. I. 3-12 show throughout the old dagger-shape, but have at the end of the vertical in the middle a small upward stroke turned to the left. It seems probable that the heart-shaped figure arose from the prolongation of this little stroke to the end of the cross-

¹ The Devanâgarî MSS., even of the sixteenth century, still use the dagger-shape in accordance with the rule of Ne. I. and *Sâ.*

bar¹. A similar development may be observed in the Vākataka and Kalukya forms of ka (Burgess, Ind. Alphabets, 22 and 23, 30 and 31). Gu. and Ne. I. 1 have the old dagger-shape, without the up-stroke at the end of the vertical, but, as also the older Mathurā inscriptions, with the ends of the crossbar bent downwards.

kha is characterised by its flat top and angular shape, the position of the loop on the left side of the right-hand down-stroke, and the prolongation of the latter beyond the loop. In Gu. and the older alphabets, the top is invariably round, and the loop attached to the right side of the right-hand down-stroke. In Ne. I. No. 1, ii, l. 11 has the round top, but the loop on the left side of the right-hand down-stroke, Nos. 7-15 show exactly the form of H. P., which appears with small variations at the end of the left-hand limb, of the size of the loop, in Ne. MS. 1049, Sâ., *Ghâ.*, and Sâ.

ga differs from Gu. and many of the older alphabets by the flat top, the slight bend of the middle of the left limb towards the right, and a wedge marking the end of the latter. Ne. I. Nos. 1 and 3 vacillate between the round and flat tops, and with respect to the left limb, which is either straight or bent, and ends in a wedge or a triangle. The later ones show the flat top invariably, but vary with respect to the bend in the left limb. Ne. MS. 1049, Sâ., *Ghâ.* agree with H. P. almost exactly; Sâ. and U. B. show, instead of a wedge at the end of the left limb, a triangle, which is an ornamental development of the former.

gha is characterised by the division of the lower horizontal line, on which in Gu. and older forms the three verticals rest, into two small curves, and by the prolongation of the third vertical beyond the body of the letter. Among the Ne. I. No. 1, ii, l. 5 shows the form of Gu., No. 13, l. 29 seems to agree with H. P., while No. 15 slightly differs by the pointed angle which the third vertical forms with the horizontal stroke. This last form appears in Ne. MS. 1049 and *Ghâ.*, while the shape presented by Sâ. comes nearer to H. P. Sâ. differs from H. P. only by the closed top.

ña looks, owing to the curve in the down-stroke, almost like *ta*. In this respect it resembles the form of Sâ. alone, the other alphabets all

¹ This theory explains the rule according to which the dagger-shape is retained, if a vowel or consonant is attached to the lower end of ka.

preserving the old angular shape. Its other peculiarity, the wedge at the end of the upper horizontal, is found in Ne. I. 3-15 (Nos. 1-2 only showing the straight stroke of Gu. and the older alphabets), in Ne. MS. 1049, and in Sâ. The use of this letter in *manoṣi* (H. P. A. I. 5), instead of the anusvâra, finds numerous analogies in inscriptions from various parts of India, where *ṇa* commonly stands before *sa*, *sa*, and *ha*. The fact probably finds its explanation by the peculiar pronunciation of the anusvâra before these three letters, where it very frequently has a guttural sound, resembling *ṇ*.

ka differs from the Gu. and older forms by its triangular form, and the prolongation of the right-hand down-stroke. The former peculiarity is constant in Ne. I. 10-15 (No. 1 showing the half-moon of Gu., and Nos. 3-9 wavering between the crescent and a triangle), as well as in *Ghâ*. But the prolonged down-stroke is found only in Ne. MS. 1049 and Sâ. The form of Sâ. is probably a modification of the triangle, the left-hand side of which has been attached to the left end of the top-line.

kha differs from the usual form by the opening in the left-hand circle. The same peculiarity occurs in Ne. MS. 1049 and Sâ.

ga differs from Gu. and the older forms by the wedge at the end of the first horizontal bar, by the slanting direction of the second horizontal, and by the curves given to the third horizontal as well as to the down-stroke on the left. The same peculiarities appear with slight modifications in Ne. MS. 1049 and *Ghâ*. Among the Ne. I. the slant in the second horizontal and the curve in the third appear already in No. 1, the wedge and the curve in the down-stroke are first clearly observable in No. 4, though the latter is not constant. The form of Sâ. is a further development, tending towards the final result, the conversion of the first horizontal into a top-line, of the second bar into a vertical, and of the third into a double twist on the left, which is reached in the modern Devanâgarî. In Sâ. the letter is turned round, the old vertical being made a horizontal line, and the three horizontals turned into verticals¹.

gha differs from the old Maurya and Andhra form merely by the wedges marking the ends of the strokes, and is identical with that of

¹ The H. P. form occurs, however, in ancient Sâradâ MSS. of the fourteenth and fifteenth centuries.

Ne. MS. 1049. *Sâ*. presents a further development, the hook on the right being detached from the vertical and hung on the top bar. The modern Devanâgarî forms of the letter partly go back to the H. P. form, and partly to the Gaina *ꣳ*, with the hook turned downwards.

na shows two forms, the independent and that used in connexion with *ga* (i, 52). The former differs from the independent form of the older inscriptions and from Gu. mainly by the shape of the hook on the left, which turns its opening upwards instead of downwards. The only analogy is furnished by the compound letter of Gu. (iv. a, 52), and it is probably the parent of the modern Devanâgarî *न*, where the whole letter has, however, been turned round. The compound form (i, 52) finds its explanation through the independent form of Ne. MS. 1049 (ii, 26), where the three elements of the ancient form have been converted into three curves of varying size, the uppermost representing the horizontal stroke at the top, the middlemost the vertical, and the third the hook originally attached to the right. The compound form of H. P. (i, 52) consists of the same elements, but has been made more regular, and placed horizontally under the *ga* in order to make it possible to preserve the distances between the lines. The proof for this assertion is furnished by Ne. I. Nos. 1-2, where the H. P. letter occurs in an upright position (see e.g. No. 1, iii, ll. 8, 12, 15), side by side with the older form (No. 1, ii, l. 3). Ne. I. Nos. 3-15 and *Sâ*. agree with H. P. In *Ghâ*. (v, 26, 52) we have a curious shape exactly agreeing with *na*. I think it, however, unlikely that the writer has made a mistake. It is more probable that the likeness has been produced accidentally by the same process of turning the letter sideways, and that the curve on the left stands for the top-stroke of the old letter, the horizontal for its vertical, and the curve on the right for the hook.

ta differs from the Gu. and older forms by the wedge placed above the old half-circle and the addition of a small line to the left, which again ends in a wedge. Among the Ne. I. No. 1, iii, l. 16 wavers between the older form and that with the line attached to the top. Nos. 3-15, as well as the other alphabets of table vi, fully agree with H. P.

tha, *da*, and *dha*, which show very slight changes, require no special remarks. All the varieties occurring in table vi are identical with or go back to the angular Maurya form (Burgess, Ind. Alph. 1).

na again shows two forms, the independent (i, 31) and the subscribed

compound one (i, 54). The former agrees fully with Ne. MS. 1049 and Ne. I. No. 13 (ll. 14, 18, etc.), while the second comes close to the independent *na* of Sâ. and Sâ., and to one variety of the subscribed *na* in Ne. I. 15 (vi, 73). It seems to me that the subscribed *na* of H. P. and its allies is merely a contracted or compressed form of the independent *na*. As regards the origin of the latter and of the cognate letters of Gu. Ind. (iv, l. 31) in *Ghâ.*, in Ne. I. No. 15, with which Ne. I. 2 and 4-12 agree, and of Gu. Ku. (iv. a, 31), found also in Ne. I. 1 and 3, it is necessary to begin with the corresponding Maurya letter. For the usual *na* of the Asoka inscriptions I, the Girnâr rock gives in one place (ed. ix, l. 8, *imina*)  with the substitution of two small curves for the top bar. From the latter arises the looped form , so common in the Western inscriptions (Burgess, Ind. Alph. 18, 19, 22-27, 28, 32), which in its turn produces that of Gu. Ku. and of Ne. I. Nos. 1, 3, by the separation of the right-hand curve from the top and its being attached to the right end of the horizontal bar below. The forms of Gu. Ind. and of the majority of the Ne. I. show the same change in the position of the left-hand hook, and besides, omit the loop on the left. The letter, found in *Ghâ.*, H. P., Ne. I. No. 13, and Ne. MSS., finally is a modification of the last-mentioned form, characterised by the conversion of the right-hand curve into an angular figure with the wedge, and in the last three cases by the addition of a small stroke protruding below beyond the body of the letter.

ta, which fully agrees with Ne. MS. 1049, is characterised by the conversion of the right-hand curved stroke, found in Gu. and in most of the older as well as later alphabets, into a vertical stroke and the shortness of the stout left limb, which is attached very high. An examination of the Ne. I. shows that the form of H. P. occurs occasionally in all of them, even in No. 1 (e.g. *karitam*, iii, l. 18, and *gaditai*, iii, l. 20). In Ne. I. No. 3 it is used in the majority of cases, while it occurs less frequently in the later ones. The form of U. B. resembles exactly a modern Devanâgarî *ta* turned round.

tha, with its notched left side, the vertical stroke on the right, protruding beyond the body of the letter, and the flat top, has a very modern appearance. Nearest to it comes Sâ., with which Ne. I. Nos. 4, 6-7, 10 closely agree, the only difference being the want of the tail. Gu. and Ne. I. No. 1 show an ellipse with a bar across the middle, a modifi-

cation of the ancient circle with the dot in the centre¹. Ne. I. Nos. 2-3 have the same sign as Gu., but with a flat top. Ne. I. Nos. 11, 13-15, Ne. MSS., and *Ghâ.* show further modifications, in which a vertical is substituted for the right side of the ellipse. The form of *Sâ.* is based on the same principle.

da offers nothing peculiar except the little stroke at the right end of the curve, which appears in all the alphabets of our table except in Gu. In the Ne. I. it appears first in No. 4.

dha differs from the Gu. and older forms merely by the narrowing towards the lower end and by the prolongation of the vertical stroke. The Gu. form occurs in Ne. I. Nos. 1, 5, 6; one precisely similar, but with a notch in the left side, in Nos. 7, 9, 10, 11, and once in No. 12; the *Ghâ.* form in Nos. 12, 14, 15; and the exact H. P. form in No. 13.

na differs from the Gu. and older forms by the filling in of the interior of the loop and by the straightening of the right-hand down-stroke. In many cases (e.g. i, 50) the *na* of H. P. resembles the modern Devanâgarî with the left-hand limb placed rather high. The majority of the alphabets of table vi show the old looped form, but *Ghâ.*, Ne. I. Nos. 14-15 (see vi, 50), and Ne. MS. 1049 agree exactly with the two varieties in H. P. The form of the Indokhera plate (iv. b, 36) proves that the loop was not exclusively used in the fourth century.

pa is characterised by the curve on the left and the length of the vertical stroke on the right which protrudes beyond the body of the letter. In Gu. and the more ancient alphabets, with the sole exception of the Maurya, the letter is angular and usually square, with an open top. Sometimes, however, the stroke between the two verticals slopes downwards towards the right and thus forms at its junction with the down-stroke an acute angle. A further modification, visible in *Ghâ.*, consists in the introduction of a curve on the left, while the acute angle on the right remains. This proceeding necessitates a break, marked by a little notch, in the bottom line. Among the Ne. I. we find the form of Gu. in Nos. 1-2, 4, 5, 8, 12; that of *Ghâ.* with the notch in Nos. 3, 4 (once), 6, 7, 9, 11; and the H. P. form once in No. 12, constant in No. 13, and nearly constant in Nos. 14-15. Ne. MS. 1049, *Sâ.*, *Sâ.*, and U. B. (viii, 70) agree more or less exactly with H. P.

¹ This form survives later in the group *stha*, where the *tha* is, however, turned sideways, and has given rise to the curious Devanâgarî *stha*, which looks like *s + âa.*

pha agrees in principle with the Gu. form, in which, as also in Ne. MS. 1049 and Sâ., a loop, marking the aspirate, is attached to the right of the pa instead of on the inside. It differs from Gu. only thereby, that instead of a loop the older open curve (see Burgess, Ind. Alph. 1, 13, 16, 18, 21, 28-32) has been retained. In the Ne. I. a similar form appears, probably in No. 11 and distinctly in No. 12, l. 23, while Nos. 13 and 15 show a loop on the inside of the pa. The curious form of Sâ., which frequently recurs in later inscriptions from Western India, is caused by the closing of the top of the pa, and is the parent of the modern Devanâgarî ष, where the loop has changed its position.

ba is represented by va, as is also frequently done in inscriptions, e. g. in Sâ. and Gâ. (?)

bha is characterised by the shortness and wedge-shape of the left limb and the strong curve at the beginning of the down-stroke on the right. The treatment of the left limb is doubtlessly, if compared with the Gu. form, more modern. With respect to the curve the same assertion cannot be made with equal confidence, as it appears in several ancient alphabets (see Burgess, Ind. Alph. 6-7, 11-14). In the Ne. I., Nos. 1-6 show the form of Gu., Nos. 7-9, 12, 14, 15 either fully agree with H. P. or come very close to it, Nos. 10-11 vacillate between the two, and No. 13 has the left limb of H. P., but the straight down-stroke of Gu. Among the other alphabets, Ne. MS. 1049 and Gâ. fully agree with H. P. The forms of Sâ. and Sâ. are modifications of that of H. P. With respect to the latter, which occurs in a great number of inscriptions of the ninth and later centuries, it may be remarked that its origin is well illustrated by a form occurring in Ne. I. No. 12 (ll. 9 and 16), where the wedge has been converted into a triangle, the middle of which is not filled in.

ma shows a slight modification of the form of Gu. and looks more archaic than those of Sâ., Ne. MS. 1049, and Sâ., in all of which the right down-stroke protrudes beyond the body of the letter. The Ne. I. vacillate between the Gu. and H. P. forms. The form of U. B. is in one respect more archaic than all the others. For the loop on the left is a better representation of the lower half of the ancient ma, which in the literary alphabets has been turned sideways, than the simple side-stroke of the other alphabets. This loop survives in the Western inscriptions

until the twelfth and thirteenth centuries, and is still occasionally used in the modern Devanâgarî of Western India.

ya is one of the test-letters. It shows an essentially cursive form, containing, instead of three down-strokes, two with a projecting point on the left which indicates the third. The same form appears in all the alphabets of our table excepting Gu. It is important to note that Ne. I. Nos. 1-12 have the form of Gu., and Nos. 13-15 alone that of H. P.

ra is remarkably short, and consists of two wedges the points of which are joined together. Sometimes (i, 59) the lower wedge has a small tail, turned to the right. According to what has been said above on the wedges, it cannot be doubtful that the lower wedge is, as in the left-hand limbs of kha (v, vi, 18), ga (i, 19), and other cases, merely intended to mark the end of the straight down-stroke, of which the letter originally consisted (see Gu. and older alphabets), and that the little projecting tail was originally a merely ornamental appendage. It seems, however, that later the wedge and its tail were considered essential elements of the letter, and that hence the forms of Sâ. and U. B. (viii, 50) arose, where ra consists of a straight down-stroke with a left-hand knob-like limb, or with a small horizontal line on the left. The last form still survives in the Devanâgarî of the Marâṭhâ country. Among the other alphabets of our table, Ne. MSS. and Sâ. closely agree with H. P., while Gḥā. shows a more strongly developed tail. Among the Ne. I., Nos. 1-2 have the same form as Gu., and Nos. 3-15 a peculiar one in which the end of the down-stroke is marked by a small projection to the left.

la shows in the left-hand limb a very archaic form, but the right-hand down-stroke being prolonged below has more the appearance of a support to which the letter leans than of an integral portion. It is evidently the descendant of a form like that used in Gu. Ind. (iv. b, 44), and has no connexion with the Gu. Ku. (iv. a, 44), which itself is a cursive variety of Gu. Ind., framed according to a somewhat different principle. Among the Ne. I., Nos. 1-3 have the letter of Gu. Ku.; Nos. 4-10 that of Gḥā. without the prolongation of the right-hand down-stroke, and Nos. 11-15 partly the latter and partly that of H. P., with which also Ne. MS. 1049, Sâ., and Sâ. agree.

va shows the usual Devanâgarî form, a round figure, half an ellipse, clinging to a vertical which below protrudes beyond the former. All the

alphabets of our table, excepting Gu., which has the older triangular form, agree more or less closely. Among the Ne. I., Nos. 1-3 and 8 agree with Gu., while the remainder show the triangular shape rarely, or more frequently a transitional form with round left side, or simply that of H. P.

sa differs from the Gu. and older forms by its more angular appearance, though the top may be occasionally slightly rounded. In the Ne. I. the forms vary throughout between those of Gu. and H. P. (see vi, 44 and 56). Ne. MS. 1049 agrees, as usually, with H. P. *Ghâ.* leans more towards the Gu. form. Sâ. as well as Sâ. and U. B. (viii, 54) show more modern developments. In the latter two the large triangle, a modification of the wedge, at the end of the left-hand stroke is worthy of note.

sha differs from the form of Gu. Ind. and the older ones chiefly by the prolongation of the right-hand side-stroke, which appears also in Ne. MS. 1049, *Ghâ.*, Sâ., and Sâ. Gu. Ku. preserves the old sha only in ksha (iv. a, 67). In all other cases it uses a cursive form, in which the curved bottom of the letter has been converted into a loop, not extending to the right-hand down-stroke, but attached to the crossbar in the middle of the letter. This form we find also in Ne. I. Nos. 1-10, 12, and once in No. 11 (l. 9), while No. 11, l. 2, and Nos. 13-15 have the sha of H. P., the prolongation of the right-hand down-stroke being particularly well developed in No. 13.

sa differs from Gu. Ind. by the conversion of the hook on the left into a wedge, and by the elongation of the right-hand down-stroke. The forms of Ne. MS. 1049, Sâ., *Ghâ.*, and some of those in the Ne. I. agree. Among the latter, Nos. 1-12 show instead of the wedge mostly a triangle. But the wedge occurs occasionally in Nos. 6, 7, 9, 12, seems to be constant in No. 14, and is used with one exception in No. 15. In this latter exceptional case we have the form with the opened wedge (viii, 48, below the line), which is found once also in No. 6, once in No. 11, and throughout in No. 13, as well as in Sâ. and U. B. (viii, 57). It survives to this day in the Devanâgarî of Râgputânâ. Gu. Ku. differs with respect to the left limb, which is represented by a loop, either a modification of the ancient curve, or a cursive transformation of the triangle.

ha does not show any very important changes. It deserves to be

noted that Gu. Ku. again presents a more advanced form than the contemporary and later alphabets, and that Ne. I. Nos. 1-3, as usually, agree with Gu. Ku. The projection below the base-line which is found in Sā. and U. B., and remains constant in modern Devanāgarī, has probably been caused by the writer's adding the curve separately, as they do still, and beginning it at the right-hand end.

C. MEDIAL VOWELS.

â shows a down-stroke, sometimes wedge-shaped, and usually less than half as long as the akshara, to the right side of which it is invariably attached. This form agrees closely with those of all the alphabets of our table, excepting Gu. and G/â., where the â-stroke frequently goes upwards, and is sometimes connected with the left-hand down-stroke of the aksharas. Among the Ne. I., Nos. 1-3 only show the forms of Gu. The origin of the down-strokes denoting â seems to be that the end of the old horizontal â-stroke was defined, as in other cases, by a wedge or a line, and that in course of time this originally unessential part came to be considered as the really important sign. The desire for regularising the appearance of the letters further led to its prolongation as far as the lower end of the aksharas. In connexion with ga the â is represented in H. P., as in most old alphabets, by an up-stroke attached to the middle bar of the letter. This practice is an archaic feature, and owing to the circumstance that since the earliest times the â-stroke was inserted in the middle of this letter. Ne. MS. 1049 shows in this respect an innovation (ii, 52), as the â is connected with the wedge at the end of the upper bar by a curve turning upwards. The same form is common in the Râshtrakûṭa and other inscriptions in connexion with ta and na (vii, 51), and occurs even in Devanāgarī paper MSS.

i shows the characteristic prolongation of the curve over the top of the akshara towards the left, until it reaches the level of the lower end of the akshara. In Gu., with which Ne. I. No. 1 fully agrees, the tail of the curve does not go down so far. In the Ne. I. Nos. 2-11 the long-tailed sign appears together with the short-tailed one. Nos. 12-15 fully agree with H. P. and the other alphabets of our table.

î, which appears only once, stands still above its akshara with the

curve to the right. The other alphabets, with the exception of Gu., show the form with the tail prolonged to the level of the end of the akshara. Among the Ne. I., Nos. 1-11 show the H. P. form constantly, Nos. 12-15 have the long-tailed one also.

u is usually marked by a short straight down-stroke, defined at the end by a minute wedge, but occasionally by the curve below the akshara, common in modern Devanāgarī. The former sign is archaic and the representative of the longer straight stroke, used in Gu. It is retained in all the alphabets of our table and in the modern Sāradā to the present day, though its use becomes gradually more and more circumscribed by the greater frequency with which the curve is employed. In this respect it is interesting to note that the earlier Ne. I. use the curve very rarely, while Nos. 13-15 have it in the great majority of cases.

û has three forms, two of which (i, 58 and 59) are traceable in other alphabets, while that in i, 57, an exact representation of the independent û, is, I believe, not known on inscriptions. All the numerous varieties observable in the cognate alphabets go back to combinations of two straight strokes or two curves. That of U. B. deserves to be noted on account of its similarity to the modern Devanāgarī form.

ri shows the usual form which is always used in the Gupta inscriptions and remains constant in all the cognate alphabets.

e, ai, o, and au offer no peculiarities, except that the *prishṭhamâtrâ* is used more frequently than superscribed *mâtrâ*. The *virâma* (i, 65) is remarkable, as it exactly agrees with that still used in the modern alphabets. Among the Ne. I., Nos. 4-15 have it too, as well as the other form observable in *Ghâ.* and *Sâ.*, where a stroke over the top and at the right side of the letter is used. Nos. 1-3 indicate the absence of the vowel by the size of the consonant, which in such cases is made half as big as those which are to be pronounced with a. This practice, which is the usual one in Gu. and earlier alphabets, is still remembered in India. For a vowelless consonant, e.g. m is even at present frequently called by the *Pandits* *ardha-makâra*. In Ne. MS. 1049 and other ancient documents small-sized letters are sometimes used in combination with the stroke marking the *virâma*.

* D. CONJUNCT CONSONANTS.

Some groups, those with *k* and *g*, have already been noticed above. Among the remaining ones the following only require some remarks:—

1. In groups ending with *ya* the last stroke of that letter is occasionally drawn up as far as the top of the akshara (i, 69). This peculiarity, a result of the tendency to equalise the length of the strokes, occurs in the Ne. I. Nos. 4–15, while Nos. 1–3 do not know it. It is constant in *Sâ.*, in the modern Devanâgarî, and some other literary alphabets.

2. The groups beginning with *ra* (i, 72–73) show besides the super-scribed wedge, which is common to H. P., Ne. MS. 1049, and many inscriptions, cases in which the *ra* is inserted into the left-hand down-stroke of letters. This practice explains the curious forms of *Sâ.* where the *ra* at first sight seems to be denoted by a small line on the left (iii, 72). In reality, however, the projecting side-stroke marks the top of the *ma*.

3. In the group *sḥta* the *ta* is placed on its side (compare the corresponding signs of *Sâ.*, *Ghâ.*, Ne. I. 15, and *Sâ.*, and my remarks on *ṇ̃a*). It may be added that in the Ne. I. *tha* is also placed sideways in combination with *sa* ढ, and then retains its elliptical form. This form of the group has given rise to the modern Devanâgarî form which looks like *ṣka*.

4. Some groups, finally, like *spra* and *sma* (i, 76, 77), show a beginning of the method of combination, used in the later alphabets, according to which the consonants of a group are placed side by side instead of the one below the other. It is sometimes, but rarely, observable in the older inscriptions.

IV.

If we sum up the results of the preceding examination of the characters of the H. P., it is in the first place evident that they present to us a perfectly developed literary alphabet, formed according to well-defined principles which were forced on the writer by his writing materials, pen, ink, and narrow palm-leaves, or suggested by the requirements of order and regularity. The narrow leaves, on which not

merely a few sentences but longer compositions had to be written, required the use of signs of moderate size, the shortening of sprawling horizontal strokes and the abandonment or reduction of tails, and the turning or compressing of the lower portions of compound letters. The use of the pen made it convenient to form the right-hand down-strokes separately, and naturally led to their prolongation beyond the body of the letter, and it likewise suggested the formation of curves to the left instead of to the right. If the MSS. were to be easily readable, it was necessary to mark the lines and to define the ends of the strokes. A slight pressure on the pen at the beginning of the down-strokes produced a thickening at their tops, which in combination with the old serif led to the formation of the wedges. The wedges once being adopted, the sense for regularity and an artistic feeling caused them to be used at the ends of horizontal and vertical strokes.

Secondly, the close agreement of the much later Nepālese MSS. and of numerous inscriptions from all parts of India with the forms of H. P., shows that this alphabet was not exclusively cultivated by the Buddhists or peculiar to Northern India, but enjoyed a widespread popularity down to the end of the ninth century, and perhaps later. At present it survives only in the Śāradā of Kasmīr, which probably branched off in early times. For though fully agreeing in principle, it shows numerous differences in details, and has had, as stated above, a separate existence at least since the times of Avantivarman¹.

Thirdly, the relation of the H. P. alphabet to those used in the Nepālese inscriptions proves distinctly that the epigraphic characters did not keep pace with those used for literary purposes, but remained for a long time more archaic, and were gradually modified by the influence of the letters employed for purposes of every-day life. Considering the great importance of this point, it will not be superfluous to present the facts, revealed by the preceding analysis, in a tabular view, and to give a full statement of the manner in which the final conclusions are reached. The tabular abstract of the facts may be arranged as on the opposite page.

¹ The earliest longer document in Śāradā letters is an unpublished inscription of one Dharmānka, dated in the year 68 (of the Lokakāla), during the reign of 'king' Diddā or 991-2 A.D. It was found by Dr. Leitner in Śrīnagar. The stone is at present in the Lahore Museum.

LETTERS.	GUPTA FORM.	TRANSITIONAL FORM.	FORM OF H. P.
Initial a, â	Ne. I. Nos. 1-10, 12	Nos. 11	Nos. 13-15
" i	" " 1-12	" "	" 13-15
" u	" " 1-12	" "	" 15
" e	" " 1-8, 10, 12	" "	" 13-15
ka	" " 1	" 2-12	" 12-15
kha	" " "	" 1	" 7-15
ga	" " "	" 1-3	" 1-15
gha	" " 1	" 15	" 13
na	" " 1-2	" "	" 3-15
ka	" " 1-9	" 3-15	" "
kha	" " 1-15	" "	" "
ga	" " "	" 1-4	" 4-15
gha	" " "	" 1-2	" 3-15
ta	" " 1	" "	" 1-15
na	" " 1, 3	" 2, 4-12, 14-15	" 13
ta	" " 1-15	" "	" 1-15
		" a. 4, 6-7, 10, 12, b. 13, 15	" "
tha	" " 1-3	" "	" 4, 7-15
da	" " 1-3, 5-6	" "	" 13
dha	" " 1, 5-6	" 12, 14-15	" 14-15
na	" " 1-15	" "	" 12-15
pa	" " 1-2, 4-5, 8, 12	" 3-11, 15	" "
pha	" " "	" 11-12	" "
bha	" " 1-6, 10-11	" 7-15	" 7-15
ma	" " 1-15	" "	" 1-15
ya	" " 1-12	" "	" 13-15
ra	" " 1-2	" 3-15	" "
la	" " 1-3	" 4-12, 14-15	" 7, 11-15
va	" " 1-4, 8, 11	" 4-12, 14-15	" 6-15
sa	" " 1-15	" "	" 1-15
sha	" " 1-12	" "	" 11, 13-15
sa	" " "	" "	" 1-15
ha	" " 1-3	" "	" 4-15
Medial â	" " 1-3	" "	" 4-15
i	" " 1-11	" "	" 2-15
Virâma	" " 1-3	" "	" 4-15

¹ Nos. 13 and 15 show an older form.

If we had no historical information regarding the age of the Horiuzi palm-leaves, every palaeographer, I believe, would draw from the above facts the inference that they belonged to the beginning of the eighth century A. D. For it is undeniable that their alphabet is nearly identical with the characters of Ne. I., Nos. 13-15, which were written between 749-50 and 759-60 A. D., and that the earlier documents of the Nepāl series apparently show, how the H. P. alphabet was gradually evolved in the course of about four centuries from the Gupta characters. This conclusion would be strengthened by the circumstance that the *Ghālrapāthan* inscription of Samvat 746, which mostly shows characters, standing midway between the Gupta and H. P. alphabets, cannot be older than the end of the seventh century, and that there is no inscription showing letters similar to *Ghā*, which can be referred to an earlier time. It would further be corroborated by the close resemblance of the Dasāvātāra fragment, of the Deogarh pillar inscription, of the Morbī plate, and of the oldest Nepālese MSS. of the Cambridge collection with our palm-leaves, as none of these documents can be placed earlier than the second half of the eighth century, and some of them clearly belong to the ninth century. In short, on the supposition that the characters of the inscriptions permit us to trace the gradual transformation of the Indian alphabets, the arguments for assigning the Horiuzi MS. to the beginning of the eighth century would be as strong as possible. As we, however, know from external evidence that this document is at the least two hundred years older, it is evident that there must be some radical fault in the argumentation. The facts with respect to the age of the inscriptions being indisputable, the fault must lie in the tacit assumption that the inscriptions give us a correct view of the development of the Indian alphabets. This being once recognised, the case is plain enough. Starting from the two facts that we have on the one hand a MS. of the first half of the sixth century A. D., showing an alphabet with far advanced forms, and on the other hand a series of inscriptions, extending over the period from the fourth to the eighth century, the characters of which gradually change and in their latest development closely agree with those of the MS., the inevitable conclusion is that the changes in the epigraphic characters are due to the influence of the literary alphabet. In other words, the masons who incised the inscriptions, or the writers who wrote the originals from which the masons copied, tried

to make the characters archaic, but succumbed at last to the influence of the literary alphabet which they used in every-day life. In some cases the old forms disappeared sooner, in others later, and the natural conclusion was, as it is always in such struggles, that the antique types went out altogether.

The correctness of this view is supported by the analogies observable in the history of writing among most other nations, and by a not inconsiderable number of phenomena in Indian inscriptions which are inexplicable on the supposition that the epigraphic characters kept pace with the literary ones. Whether we turn to the Greek, the Roman, or the Semitic alphabets, or even to those of our own times, the tendency to use archaic characters and forms for epigraphic documents is everywhere apparent, and in no case is it possible to trace the development of the literary alphabets with the help of the epigraphic characters. As the discoveries of papyri made of late years in Egypt show, even the ancient Greeks as well as the Arabs possessed already in very early times fully developed literary alphabets which differed considerably from those used in their inscriptions. As regards the facts in Indian inscriptions which bear on this point, they fall chiefly under three heads,—the occasional use of modern-looking characters for the signatures or attestations in royal grants, the main portion of which is written in an archaic alphabet; the occasional occurrence of a mixture of alphabets in one and the same inscription; and of retrograde steps in one and the same series of documents. The modern-looking signatures occur first on the Gurgara grants, some of which have been mentioned above¹, viz. on the plates of Dadda Prasāntarāga, dated (Saka)-Samvat 380 (458–9 A.D.), (Saka)-Samvat 385 (463–4 A.D.), Sakasamvat 400 (478–9 A.D.), Sakasamvat 415 (493 A.D.), as well as in those of Gayabhata, dated Samvat 456 (706 A.D.) and Samvat 486 (736 A.D.). The letters obtainable from the third and fourth grants have been given in table vi, col. viii. The first (where, as well as in the second, the attestations show a mixture of modern-looking and archaic characters) furnishes likewise the H. P. form of ka², and the sixth yields forms of ga and bha which exactly agree with those of Sa³.

¹ See p. 72, and note 5.

² The first alone has it in the word dinakara, while the second shows the ancient dagger-shaped letter.

³ See Fleet and Burgess, Old Pali, Sanskrit and Kanarese Inscriptions, plate 272.

The same practice is observable in the grant of the Râshtrakûta king Govinda IV of Broach¹, dated Sakasamvat 749 (827-8 A.D.), where the attestation exhibits characters closely resembling those of Sâ., while the grant itself is written in an alphabet similar to that of Dadda Prasântarâga's plates. A mixed alphabet, or rather a sporadic occurrence of modern-looking signs among archaic ones, is found, as I have pointed out, Ind. Ant. IX, 62, in the third grant of Dadda Prasântarâga, where in the first line the word vâsakât looks like nâsakât, owing to the employment of the cursive va instead of the archaic triangle. The same peculiarity is observable on the fourth unpublished grant from Bagumrâ, but the fifth (Ind. Ant. XIII, 116) has according to the facsimile a real na, quite different from the character on the two earlier documents. On a re-examination of the three inscriptions I find still another trace of the influence of the literary alphâbet in the formation of the letter ka, which, here and there, shows the prolonged right-hand down-stroke, while in other respects it resembles the form of Gu. Ku. A second case of the sporadic introduction of advanced forms among archaic ones occurs in the grant of Silâditya II, dated Sam. 252, where the H. P. form of ka and the Devanâgarî va appear several times, though mostly the ancient letters are used². A third case, which belongs to much later times, has been pointed out in the remarks on the grant of Bhîmadeva of Añhilvâd, dated Vikramasamvat 1086 (1029-30 A.D.³). It is of particular significance, because the Gaina palm-leaf MSS. of the same or even somewhat earlier times show their peculiar De'vanâgarî letters without any change.

The retrograde steps which sometimes are observable in one and the same series of documents are best illustrated by the inscriptions of the Râshtrakûtas, found in the Marâthâ country and in Gugarat. The earliest of the series are the Sâmangadh plates of Dantidurga from the neighbourhood of Kolhâpur, dated Sakasamvat 675 (753-4 A.D.), the characters of which closely resemble the modern Devanâgarî (plate vi, col. vii). Next comes a grant of one Kakka, evidently the scion of

¹ Indian Antiquary, V, 113, and Fleet and Burgess loc. cit., plate 282 (3). In the grant of Govinda's elder brother, Karka, dated Sakasamvat 734 (812-3 A.D.), the sign manual shows the same letters as the remainder of the document.

² Ind. Ant. XI, 305.

³ Ind. Ant. VI, 193 note.

a branch of the family settled in Southern Gujarāt, which is dated *Sakasamvat* 679 (757-8 A.D.), and exhibits archaic characters of the type which the kings of Valabhī used after *Sam.* 300 of the era of their plates¹. To the same period belongs the *Dasāvatāra* fragment, written in the alphabet of H. P. Then we have two grants of Govinda III, the son of Dantidurga's cousin, both dated in *Sakasamvat* 730 (808-9 A.D.), and showing an alphabet very similar to that of Dantidurga's grant, but in some respects slightly more archaic². These are followed by the Baroda plates of Karka II, dated *Sakasamvat* 734 (812-3 A.D.), and the Kāvī plates of Govinda IV, dated *Sakasamvat* 749 (827-8 A.D.), both with archaic letters, resembling those of the Gurgara and Valabhī sāsanas. All the following documents, the earliest of which is an unpublished grant of Dhruva II of Broach, dated *Sakasamvat* 757 or 835 A.D., while the oldest published is the Bagumrā grant of Dhruva III of Broach, dated *Sakasamvat* 789 (June 6, 867 A.D.)³, again show an alphabet still more closely allied to the modern Devanāgarī than that of the grants of Dantidurga and of Govinda III. The backward and forward jumps are in this case so enormous, that even the warmest advocate of the theory which evolves the literary from the epigraphic alphabets must become puzzled (see Burnell, *Elem. S. Ind. Pal.* p. 54, note 3).

The bearing of these three sets of facts is plain. They clearly illustrate the truth of the maxim that the inscriptions are not safe guides for the investigation of the history of the Indian alphabet, but that in the development of the letters they lag behind the literary documents.

Another result deducible from the analysis of the H. P. alphabet is, that it is probably more ancient than the precursor of the modern Devanāgarī, found in U. B. and Sā., though the former documents date a little earlier than the H. P. palm-leaves. The reason for this supposition is that U. B. and Sā. both show characteristics belonging to the system followed in H. P., and inexplicable on the general principles prevailing in Sā. and U. B. The triangles in the left limbs of kha, ga,

¹ Dr. Bhagvānlāl, *Journ. Bomb. Br. R. As. Soc.* XVI, Article viii.

² The Rādhānpur and the Van-Dindori plates, *Ind. Ant.* VI, 59, and *Ind. Ant.* XI, 156. The most prominent among the archaic features of these grants is that the tops of the letters are mostly left open.

³ *Ind. Ant.* XII, 179.

and sa, the opened-up triangles in bha and sa, and the straight line to the left of the down-stroke in ra are, as has been shown above, all remnants of wedges. The employment of wedges is one of the principles regulating the letters of H. P., but they are meaningless in an alphabet like that of U. B.—Sâ., which in general employs only straight or curved lines. If we, therefore, find in U. B.—Sâ. forms which are based on the principles prevailing in the H. P., the inevitable inference is that U. B.—Sâ. has been modified by the influence of H. P. This conclusion is, of course, of great importance for the determination of the age of the alphabet with the wedges. As U. B. belongs to the end of the fifth century, it seems not unreasonable to assume that the H. P. characters existed in the fourth century, and perhaps earlier. How far they go back must for the present be left undetermined. But I believe that a fuller investigation of the inscriptions of the Gupta kings, which will only be possible when Mr. Fleet's exact facsimiles have been published, will make its existence during the reign of that dynasty very probable.

These remarks will, I hope, suffice to show that the discovery of the Horiuzi palm-leaves is, indeed, an event of the highest importance for the Indian palaeographer. I am persuaded that this importance will be brought out still more clearly, when, in a general survey of the history of the Indian alphabets, the principles adopted above have been fully worked out and applied also to the writing of Southern India, and if it is shown that in the South too the apparent gradual transformation of the epigraphic characters is not the cause of the development of the modern literary characters, but the result of their existence. The materials, requisite for such a task, are at present not at my command; and if I had them, I should be afraid of abusing the hospitality which the editor of this volume has offered me, by extending these remarks to an unreasonable length. I, however, cannot refrain from pointing out, that according to Dr. Burnell, the Southern alphabets were developed after the year 1000 A.D.¹, while two passages of Hiouen Tshang, *Mémoires* I, 72 and II, 119, point to the existence of separate literary alphabets in the South about the year 600 A.D. In the second passage the pilgrim says that 'the language and writing of the Dravida country are "a little"

¹ Elem. S. Ind. Pal. p. 14.

different from those of Central India.' The word 'little' must here not be taken in too literal a sense, because it applies both to the language—an ancient Dravidian dialect—and to the alphabet. If the alphabet differed only half as much from those used in the North as the language must have done, it is evident that the remark cannot apply to the characters of the Pallava inscriptions, but refers to a precursor of the modern Telugu or of the Grantha. Among the Southern inscriptions those of the Rāshtrakūṭa kings (see e. g. the facsimile, Ind. Ant. XI, pp. 126–27) furnish abundant proof that many of the characteristic forms of the Kānarese and Telugu alphabets existed in the eighth century. Even a lately-discovered inscription from Gujarat, the above-mentioned grant of Dhruva II of Broach, shows in the attestation Kānarese letters (ka, kha, da, dha, ra) mixed with older forms.

G. BÜHLER.

THE TWO PALM-LEAVES, R
PHOTOGRAPHED AT TO

THE TWO PALM-LEAVES, PRESERVED SINCE 609 A.D. IN THE MONASTERY HORIUZI,
PHOTOGRAPHED AT TOKIO, AND SENT TO PROFESSOR MAX MÜLLER IN 1883.

[illegible]

ॐ नमो भगवते वासुदेवाय ॥ १ ॥
 ॐ नमो भगवते वासुदेवाय ॥ २ ॥
 ॐ नमो भगवते वासुदेवाय ॥ ३ ॥
 ॐ नमो भगवते वासुदेवाय ॥ ४ ॥
 ॐ नमो भगवते वासुदेवाय ॥ ५ ॥
 ॐ नमो भगवते वासुदेवाय ॥ ६ ॥
 ॐ नमो भगवते वासुदेवाय ॥ ७ ॥
 ॐ नमो भगवते वासुदेवाय ॥ ८ ॥
 ॐ नमो भगवते वासुदेवाय ॥ ९ ॥
 ॐ नमो भगवते वासुदेवाय ॥ १० ॥

SEE CATAL. OF JAPANESE BOOKS & MSS. IN THE BODLEIAN LIBRARY; No. 45, l.

[illegible]

ॐ नमो भगवते वासुदेवाय ॥ नमो भगवते वासुदेवाय ॥
नमो भगवते वासुदेवाय ॥ नमो भगवते वासुदेवाय ॥
नमो भगवते वासुदेवाय ॥ नमो भगवते वासुदेवाय ॥
नमो भगवते वासुदेवाय ॥ नमो भगवते वासुदेवाय ॥

ॐ नमो भगवते वासुदेवाय ॥ नमो भगवते वासुदेवाय ॥
नमो भगवते वासुदेवाय ॥ नमो भगवते वासुदेवाय ॥
नमो भगवते वासुदेवाय ॥ नमो भगवते वासुदेवाय ॥
नमो भगवते वासुदेवाय ॥ नमो भगवते वासुदेवाय ॥

ॐ नमो भगवते वासुदेवाय ॥ नमो भगवते वासुदेवाय ॥
नमो भगवते वासुदेवाय ॥ नमो भगवते वासुदेवाय ॥
नमो भगवते वासुदेवाय ॥ नमो भगवते वासुदेवाय ॥
नमो भगवते वासुदेवाय ॥ नमो भगवते वासुदेवाय ॥

ॐ नमो भगवते वासुदेवाय ॥ नमो भगवते वासुदेवाय ॥
नमो भगवते वासुदेवाय ॥ नमो भगवते वासुदेवाय ॥
नमो भगवते वासुदेवाय ॥ नमो भगवते वासुदेवाय ॥
नमो भगवते वासुदेवाय ॥ नमो भगवते वासुदेवाय ॥

ॐ नमो भगवते वासुदेवाय ॥ नमो भगवते वासुदेवाय ॥
नमो भगवते वासुदेवाय ॥ नमो भगवते वासुदेवाय ॥
नमो भगवते वासुदेवाय ॥ नमो भगवते वासुदेवाय ॥
नमो भगवते वासुदेवाय ॥ नमो भगवते वासुदेवाय ॥

ॐ नमो भगवते वासुदेवाय ॥ नमो भगवते वासुदेवाय ॥
नमो भगवते वासुदेवाय ॥ नमो भगवते वासुदेवाय ॥
नमो भगवते वासुदेवाय ॥ नमो भगवते वासुदेवाय ॥
नमो भगवते वासुदेवाय ॥ नमो भगवते वासुदेवाय ॥

NATIONAL ARCHIVES OF INDIA

PHOTOGRAPH OF ZIOGON'S COPY OF THE OLD PALM LEAVES OF HORIUZI, DATED A.D.

SENT TO PROFESSOR MAX MÜLLER IN 1883.

III.

[illegible][illegible]

余自弱小頗志宏乘長參諸師扣問底蘊
言瑜如學者自非考索梵文詳形音義不克
臻其堂奧於是周訪博採專攻梵學音韻粗

NATIONAL ARCHIVES OF INDIA



00047452

NATIONAL ARCHIVES OF INDIA

NATIONAL ARCHIVES OF INDIA

Digitized with financial assistance from



NSDL

Technology, Trust & Reach

on 16 November, 2018

